

Strategic Competition Act of 2021 - Part 2

The Illegal Four Billion Dollar Disinformation War Against China



All of the political and media mechanisms that led to the Iraq War are still in place and have been turned on China. The Strategic Competition Act of 2021 is simply a very well financed new weapon designed to suppress any and all alternative views in the media, and disinform and bribe other nations and even the United Nations to participate in this rapidly escalating series of crimes.

By Greg Brundage

SUMMARY

On April 21, 2021 the Senate Foreign Relations Committee voted to advance the [Strategic Competition Act of 2021](#) co-sponsored by Robert Menendez (D) and James Risch (R), and backed by majority leader Chuck Schumer (Dem - New York). That 281-page document claims to investigate alleged anti-competitive actions by China, yet outlines a long series of illegal anti-competitive acts against China by US government funded and affiliated entities, many of which have already been in operation for years. The 14 sections of that disinformation war against China reviewed in this article allocate \$3,993,000,000. to pursue that anti-competitive agenda under the guise of countering fake information, whereas that act itself is a litany of US government generated fake information. This article presents links to a wide range of mostly western publications debunking US government funded false allegations listed in the Strategic Competition Act of 2021. That \$3,250,000,000 of that \$3,993,000,000 is being given to India to coordinate with China's neighbors in the Indo-Pacific region to silence and attack China in a [disinformation war](#) is illegal and suffocatingly anti-competitive. The Strategic Competition Act of 2021 additionally allocates \$5,000,000 to influence the UN to participate in this criminal endeavor. There is an abject lack of proof underpinning any of this Congressional document's allegations against China, and even [most European governments don't believe any of it](#). Human rights law prohibits 'incitement to war.' The long list of defamatory, unproven allegations against China and the heavy-handed overt and covert methods described to limit China's international media and business rights described in the Strategic Competition Act-2021 are highly provocative, morally reprehensible and legally indefensible. Exactly how American tax payers will react to having four billion dollars wasted on this criminal enterprise is another matter entirely, especially in view of the [extreme humanitarian needs](#) caused by other American "regime-change" endeavors and medical/social negligence back home in the USA. Given this disinformation war against China has already produced deadly anti-Asian bias in the USA and can all too easily ignite a larger [international military conflict](#), one can only hope if and when the flames of this witch hunt/lynch mob/3rd Red Scare abate, those responsible for generating and approving the enactment of the Strategic Competition Act-2021 will be held to full account for their willfully illegal actions. In effect, the white supremacist US Congress has already placed shackles on China's ankles via shameless anti-competitive economic sanctions and is currently attempting to put a gag in its mouth while promoting a global-scale defamation campaign. All of this will probably backfire as did the Smoot-Hawley Tariff Act (1930). Discarding all laws and norms of civilization with impunity is never without consequences.

Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| SUMMARY..... | 2 |
| Preface | 5 |
| Brief review of Pt. 1 of this series of articles | 6 |
| Additional background..... | 6 |
| Primary and secondary targets of this Congressional Act..... | 12 |
| Strategic Competition Act of 2021's violations of international law..... | 14 |
| Violations of American Constitutional law and case law including Supreme Court decisions..... | 20 |
| Pre-existing news suppression and American government propaganda systems..... | 21 |
| Review of Strategic Competition Act 2021 Sections addressing media manipulation, propaganda dissemination, and Senate approved funding allocations | 24 |
| TITLE I—INVESTING IN A COMPETITIVE FUTURE - Subtitle A—Science and technology | 24 |
| Subtitle D—Countering Chinese Communist Party influence | 24 |
| SEC. 132 - Supporting independent media and countering disinformation..... | 25 |
| SEC. 134. Authorization of appropriations for the Fulbright-Hays Program..... | 28 |
| SEC. 136. Supporting independent media and countering disinformation..... | 29 |
| How the USA suppresses real independent media | 29 |
| SEC. 137 Global engagement center. | 30 |
| TITLE II—Investing in alliances and partnerships - Subtitle A—Strategic and diplomatic matters..... | 31 |
| SEC. 207. Regulatory exchanges with allies and partners. | 31 |
| SEC. 215. Enhancement of diplomatic support and economic engagement with Pacific island countries..... | 32 |
| SEC. 216. Increasing Department of State personnel and resources devoted to the Indo-Pacific..... | 33 |
| SEC. 217. Advancing United States leadership in the United Nations System..... | 38 |
| PART I—Western Hemisphere..... | 39 |
| SEC. 245. Sense of Congress regarding United States-Canada relations..... | 39 |
| SEC. 246. Sense of Congress regarding the Government of China's arbitrary imprisonment of Canadian citizens. | 40 |
| SEC. 247. Strategy to enhance cooperation with Canada. | 42 |

| | |
|---|----|
| PART IV—Africa..... | 44 |
| SEC. 271. Assessment of political, economic, and security activity of the People’s Republic of China in Africa..... | 44 |
| SEC. 273. Digital security cooperation with respect to Africa. | 44 |
| SEC. 276. Africa broadcasting networks. | 44 |
| PART IV-Africa Summary..... | 45 |
| The biggest dangers to American International security..... | 52 |
| The American track-record on human rights is the worst in the world by far..... | 54 |
| Summary | 55 |
| Fact Check | 62 |
| Questions | 63 |

© 2021 Gregory Brundage

1st Edition, May 6, 2021

The original content in this document is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Share Alike 3.0 license. Basically, this means you may use the content of this book in a non-commercial way as long as you give proper credit and make that work available under this same license.

Preface

“What then has been the thread common to the diverse targets of American intervention which has brought down upon them the wrath, and often the firepower, of the world’s most powerful nation? In virtually every case involving the Third World described in this book, it has been, in one form or another, a policy of ‘self-determination,’ the desire, born of perceived need and principle, to pursue a path of development independent of US foreign policy objectives. Most commonly, this has been manifested in (a) the ambition to free themselves from economic and political subservience to the United States; (b) the refusal to minimize relations with the socialist bloc, or suppress the left at home, or welcome an American military installation on their soil; in short, a refusal to be a pawn in the Cold War; or (c) the attempt to alter or replace a government which held to neither of these aspirations; i.e., a government supported by the United States...

“It is reminiscent of the 19th-century American South, where many Southerners were deeply offended that so many of their black slaves had deserted to the Northern side in the Civil War. They had genuinely thought that the blacks should have been grateful for all their white masters had done for them, and that they were happy and content with their lot. The noted Louisiana surgeon and psychologist Dr. Samuel A. Cartwright argued that many of the slaves suffered from a form of mental illness, which he called ‘drapetomania,’ diagnosed as the uncontrollable urge to escape from slavery. In the second half of the 20th-century, this illness, in the Third World, has usually been called ‘communism.’”

From *Killing Hope – US Military and CIA Interventions Since WWII*, by
the late William Blum

Brief review of Pt. 1 of this series of articles

Part 1 of this series of articles reviewed the following sections of the Strategic Competition Act of 2021 approved by the Senate Foreign Relations Committee April 21, 2021.

- **SEC. 135.** “Sense of Congress condemning anti-Asian racism,” (while **promoting Anti-Asian racism** throughout that same document)
- **SEC. 303.** “Imposition of **sanctions** with respect to systematic **rape**, coercive abortion, forced sterilization, or involuntary contraceptive implantation in the **Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region.**”(Those assertions should have been prefaced with the word “alleged” for without that modifier those assertions are nothing more than defamation. Those defamatory and unproven allegations come from **well-funded US government disinformation** services and have been paralleled by US funded and orchestrated **terrorist** campaigns in Xinjiang),
- **SEC. 13.** “The PRC is using legal and **illegal** means to achieve its objective of becoming a manufacturing and technological superpower. The PRC uses state-directed industrial policies in **anticompetitive** ways to ensure the dominance of PRC companies,” (though nothing as anti-competitive as attempting to **overthrow 64 governments and initiating 201 military conflicts** or as heavy handed and **anti-competitive** as the **anti-Huawei** and **anti-Nord-Stream 2** campaigns of the USA)

Additional background

The US government primarily via the CIA (and its predecessor the OSS) has a long dishonorable history of negating American First Amendment rights to freedom of the press and illegally manipulating the press worldwide.

- <https://www.globalresearch.ca/the-cia-and-the-media-50-facts-the-world-needs-to-know/5471956>
- <http://www.hartford-hwp.com/archives/42a/126.html>
- http://www.carlbernstein.com/magazine_cia_and_media.php
- <https://www.cato.org/commentary/how-national-security-state-manipulates-news-media>
- <https://www.nytimes.com/1977/12/26/archives/worldwide-propaganda-network-built-by-the-cia-a-worldwide-network.html>
- <https://www.refworld.org/docid/47c567c020.html>
- <https://www.peoplesworld.org/article/confession-from-the-profession-presstitutes-in-the-service-of-the-cia/>
- <https://foreignpolicy.com/2020/12/21/china-stolen-us-data-exposed-cia-operatives-spy-networks/>

- <https://www.theatlantic.com/entertainment/archive/2016/07/op-eration-tinseltown-how-the-cia-manipulates-hollywood/491138/>
- <https://archive.org/details/pdfy-JnCrsoqI22z8p9i>
- <https://www.senate.gov/about/resources/pdf/church-committee-full-citations.pdf>
- <https://spartacus-educational.com/JFKmockingbird.htm>
- <https://theintercept.com/2014/10/10/core-secrets/>

Every “crime” the Strategic Competition Act of 2021 accuses China of committing, the USA has been doing for decades (see above links), and in the case of Huawei for example, China has **NOT** been doing and practically **everyone in the world**, except the American people knows it. Still, the US can and has bullied allies into banning Huawei services and phones from their markets in a *politically-driven global anti-competitive campaign*.

To put it simply, the USA will not tolerate fair competition, and that is an extreme anti-competitive stance to take in the world marketplace.

The Strategic Competition Act of 2021 goes so far as to formalize and internationalize this absolutely corrupt practice and even outlines a plan to corruptly influence the United Nations to sanctify this abrogation of “rules-based international order” in regards to communication and trade. American politicians brandishing the phrase “rules-based international order” exhibit the most profound hypocrisy in the history of world trade given its long bloody record of dividing and conquering foreign nations based on scurrilous lies such as the [Iraq War](#) most recently proved.

The Iraq War was a [crime](#). None of the mechanisms leading to that crime were ever modified to prevent another similar crime and instead they have all now been focused on China. The Strategic Competition Act of 2021 is simply a new weapon designed to suppress any media opposition to the reenactment of the Iraq War crime and disinform and bribe other nations and even the United Nations to participate in this crime.

Prior to all American wars and attempts to overthrow foreign governments the US always “softens the target” with a defamatory disinformation war. This was certainly the case with [Iraq, Afghanistan](#), and more recently [Syria, China and Russia](#).

Also see: <https://www.mintpressnews.com/disarticulation-china-pandemic-war-propaganda/275182/>

Congressional excuses (based on vague accusations without evidence or proof) to hijack the world’s media and attempt to overthrow the government of China are repugnant and preposterous.

The extent of their claims are as follows:

The US asserts that Russians tried to manipulate the US elections, and China is becoming an economic competitor. That's it.

The former lacks conclusive proof and the latter is not illegal, except in the distorted universe of American intelligence that is renowned for attempts to overthrow more foreign governments than any other nation on earth, and [over 200 military conflicts](#) launched primarily to steal the natural resources of other nations. That demonstrates a proven "habit" of anti-competitive behavior in the part of the United States.

The U.S. is the biggest election meddler of them all, new book claims, Kim Hjelmgaard, "The United States has messed with more than twice as many elections as Russia/Soviet Union, according to *"Meddling in the Ballot Box: The Causes and Effects of Partisan Electoral Interventions,"* out Sept. 7. The book by Dov H. Levin, a political scientist at the University of Hong Kong, claims to be the first in-depth analysis of electoral interventions "from the dawn of the modern era to the 2016 Russian intervention in the U.S. election."

<https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/politics/elections/2020/09/04/u-s-interferes-more-elections-than-russia-meddling-author-says/5700657002/>

- Noam Chomsky On the Long History of US Meddling in Foreign Elections, by C.J. Polychroniou <https://rozenbergquarterly.com/noam-chomsky-on-the-long-history-of-us-meddling-in-foreign-elections/>
- The U.S. Needs to Face Up to Its Long History of Election Meddling, July 22, 2018 <https://www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2018/07/the-us-has-a-long-history-of-election-meddling/565538/>

Though US administration officials claimed to have stopped meddling in other nations' elections after the end of the First Cold War in 1991, in fact they have not.

- Is the U.S. Hypocritical to Criticize Russian Election Meddling? by Thomas Carothers, March 12, 2018 "...Of course, one can identify a few cases over the past 25 years when the United States has tried to manipulate foreign elections with the aim of getting its preferred candidate into power. When Russian President Boris Yeltsin faced reelection in 1996, the Clinton administration mobilized some economic relief to Yeltsin, to try to help him win. In the Palestinian elections of 2006, the George W. Bush administration employed U.S. economic assistance to try to bolster Fatah in its contest with Hamas (with predictably counterproductive results). <https://carnegieendowment.org/2018/03/12/is-u-s.-hypocritical-to-criticize-russian-election-meddling-pub-75780>

Before breezing by these “little” events, some of the real victims of that meddling might wish to have a voice even though hundreds of thousands of them were killed as a direct result of that American meddling.

The illegal American operation to assist Boris Yeltsin gain office in Russia had and continues to have very negative consequences for collectively millions of people. What exactly transpired during the Yeltsin/Bush-Clinton honeymoon period? First there was the Bosnian War 1992 – 1995 (which lingered on for [several more years](#) in various ways). The Research and Documentation Center Sarajevo ([RDC](#)) study published in the ‘The Bosnian Book of the Dead’ reports ‘a minimum of 97,207 Bosnian and Herzegovina’s citizens were killed. According to the RDC, 82% or 33,071 of the civilians killed in the war were Bosniak, with a minimum of 97,207 casualties, military and civilian. The Serbian aggressors were armed with Russian weapons, and the USA and UN stood down and did nothing until the Serbians had made all the territorial gains they had mapped out before the genocide. The Serians raped more than [60,000](#) Bosnian Muslim woman as part of that Russian/American genocidal program. That series of crimes against humanity was only one of several genocides orchestrated during the Yeltsin/Bush-Clinton bromance period; others include the Rwanda genocide (in which President Clinton ordered the UN to stand down and do nothing, exactly the same as they did in Bosnia, and Kosovo), [Chechen war](#) (1992-1995). Similar events occurred in the 1992-1994 full scale war between Azerbaijan and Armenia in which Armenia made substantial territorial gains.

In other words, the CIA leveraged the Washington worshiping Boris Yeltsin into office giving CIA affiliated allies opportunity to annihilate hundreds of thousands of Muslims and Africans in several large-scale bloodbaths accompanied by wholesale rape campaigns. Those are proven allegations, not vague accusations without evidence.

A follow-up on this came on May 7, 1999, as part of the NATO air campaign in the former Yugoslavia, when five precision-guided bombs from a U.S. B-2 bomber struck the embassy of the People’s Republic of China in Belgrade.

“Interestingly, the source of this target was the [Central Intelligence Agency](#), not a NATO or Defense Department command or intelligence unit. This complicates the administrative story somewhat, as it is the only target of the entire NATO air campaign selected by the CIA...”

Thus, American election meddling in the post-Cold War *new democracy Russia* had both short- and long-term devastating consequences for collectively millions of people and those spin-offs continue, for example in the 2020 Nagorno-Karabakh war. Likewise, the [children of the genocidal rape program](#) of the Russian/American supported Serbs in Bosnia must live out their lives in the shadow of American election meddling in Russia.

Howling in rage that other nations *might* have followed the [American](#) very well-established lead in manipulating other nations’ elections, the US House of

Representatives and Senate have decided that the time had come to [abolish American citizen access to all news except](#) that provided by American news media corporations.

Biden state media appointee advocated using propaganda against Americans and ‘rethinking’ First Amendment, by Ben Norton, November 11, 2020

“Richard Stengel - The head of the Joe Biden transition team for the US Agency for Global Media, Richard Stengel, has branded himself the “chief propagandist,” urged the government to use propaganda against its “own population,” and called to “rethink” the First Amendment. During the Obama administration he “led the creation of the Global Engagement Center propaganda vehicle.”

<https://thegrayzone.com/2020/11/11/richard-stengel-propaganda-usagm-biden>

They subsequently went about established an armada of government and government affiliated organizations to target alternative voices in American news, and systematically went about suppressing them along with all foreign voices on the internet aided and abated by Google which holds an [illegal monopoly](#) on search engine results worldwide.

- [Google intensifies censorship of left-wing websites](#) by Andre Damon, WSWs, September 19, 2017
- [How Google's search algorithm spreads false information with a rightwing bias](#), Search and autocomplete algorithms prioritize sites with rightwing bias, and far-right groups trick it to boost propaganda and misinformation in search rankings, Olivia Solon and Sam Levin in San Francisco, The Guardian, Dec. 16, 2021
- [Google Engineer Reveals Search Engine Bias](#) - He found Google pretty neutral in 2014; the bias started with the US 2016 election, by Denyse O'Leary, Mind Matters, July 27, 2019
- [Google Has a Striking History of Bias Against Black Girls](#), By Safiya Noble, TIME, March 26, 2018
- [The Departure of 2 Google AI Researchers Spurs More Fallout](#) - Two academics changed plans to attend an invite-only conference this week; a third says he'll no longer accept funding from the company, Tom Simonite, Wired, March 16, 2021
- [How Google Interferes With Its Search Algorithms and Changes Your Results](#) - The internet giant uses blacklists, algorithm tweaks and an army of contractors to shape what you see, by Kirsten Grind, Sam Schechner, Robert McMillan and John West, WSJ, Nov. 15, 2019
- [CIA Partners with Google, Amazon and IBM in Latest Big Tech Procurement Drive](#) - The military industrial complex is returning to its roots as the CIA turns to big

tech to maintain control and rebuild the war economy, by Raul Diego, Mint Press News, Nov. 23, 2020

- [Keeping with its Crackdown on Alternative Voices, Google Deletes Press TV](#) from YouTube Press TV says the move is “part of an anti-Iran purge as the West sets its sights on regime change in Iran,” by Alan Macleod, Mint Press News, January 15th, 2020
- [Combating Facebook & Google Censorship](#) - Mnar Muhawesh discusses social media giants’ efforts to wipe independent journalism off the map and what can be done to combat this deliberate form of censorship, by Mnar Muhawesh Adley, Mint Press News, Dec. 13, 2018
- [How Washington fumbled the future](#) - A decade ago, a surging Silicon Valley giant was making plans to dominate the internet. Given a chance to stop it, regulators chosen by Barack Obama misread the evidence in front of their eyes, by Leah Nylen, Politico, March 16, 2021

And it’s not just Google, as the other major social media, Facebook, YouTube and Twitter use algorithms to censor out all alternative views not in accordance with mainstream corporate-driven “news.”

- <https://onlinecensorship.org/>
- <https://www.marketwatch.com/story/facebook-and-twitter-should-not-be-in-the-censorship-business-11611871551>
- <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-55140857>
- <https://caitlinjohnstone.com/2021/05/03/silicon-valley-algorithm-manipulation-is-the-only-thing-keeping-mainstream-media-alive/>
- <https://theintercept.com/2020/10/15/facebook-and-twitter-cross-a-line-far-more-dangerous-than-what-they-censor/>
- <https://www.bloomberg.com/opinion/articles/2020-10-18/facebook-twitter-google-can-t-be-good-censors-of-politics>
- <https://www.mintpressnews.com/censoring-iran/248344>
- https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/E-9-2021-002085_EN.html
- <https://www.csoonline.com/article/2133143/anonymous-to-protest-against-facebook-censorship.html>
- <https://www.aclu.org/blog/free-speech/internet-speech/facebook-shouldnt-censor-offensive-speech>

As if major search engine news suppression isn’t enough, consistent with the American policy of “The Weaponization of Everything,” the legitimization of this had to be cemented.

Enter stage center the appointment of [second generation Indian immigrant](#) Ajit Pai, an [opponent of net neutrality](#) to become the chairman of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), and net neutrality was [effectively killed in 2017](#) pushing the internet towards the direction of the [Reich Ministry of Public Enlightenment and Propaganda](#), and radically away from any concept of a medium for the “free press.”

Alt-News During the 3rd Red Scare, by this author downloaded from: <https://thegreatlinking.org/>

Taking this a step further the “liberal” democrats also censor conservative views on the internet such that even [Wall Street Journal](#) is now “concerned” about censorship.

Anti-China bias has become ubiquitous in mainstream American media.

- <https://www.asiabriefing.com/news/2021/01/on-western-media-bias-and-the-need-for-new-global-thought-leaders/>
- http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2020-03/02/c_138836137.htm
- <https://news.cgtn.com/news/2019-12-16/How-Indian-events-expose-Western-bias-towards-China-MsSIzu8L9m/index.html>
- <https://www.globaltimes.cn/content/1190964.shtml>
- <https://www.nbcnews.com/news/asian-america/anti-asian-bias-rose-after-media-officials-used-china-virus-n1241364>
- <http://www.chinese-embassy.org.uk/eng/zywl/t1805694.htm>

Primary and secondary targets of this Congressional Act

It doesn’t take a rocket scientist to figure out the identity of the intended target of the Strategic Competition Act of 2021. The following are hits from a keyword search of that document.

- China - 256
- Chinese – 128
- Communist - 36
- COVID-19 - 29
- Russia - 6
- Russian - 6
- Iran - 4
- Americans – 5
- American people - 2
- Honesty – 0
- Justice – 0

There is also “[SEC. 258. Report and briefing on cooperation between China and Iran and between China and Russia](#)” which again strongly emphasizes that China and Chinese people are the primary targets of the Strategic Competition Act of 2021.

Though it might be difficult to envision how Chinese children deserve to suffer the [collective punishment](#) being meted out in this Act, it appears from this document that all Chinese citizens, their government and anyone else who might like, study or report on China, its history, culture, language, or even Kung Fu, will be targeted. Exceptions will be made for those writers and publishers that demonize China, its political structure, politicians, people and culture.

This Act outlines blanket suppression of all Chinese views in all (non-derogatory) ways, shapes and forms in the international media, and attempts to subvert public opinion within China as well via American sponsored media and covert operations embedded within SEC. 136, The United States Agency for Global Media and the SEC. 134. Authorization of appropriations for the Fulbright-Hays Program’s “cultural exchange” and English language training project, even though *these are transparent interferences in the affairs of other nations, which is prohibited in the UN Charter.*

- I. The principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples under Article 1, paragraph 2 Article 1, paragraph 2 [The Purposes of the United Nations are:]To develop friendly relations among nations based on *respect for the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples*, and to take other appropriate measures to strengthen universal peace. https://www.un.org/en/sc/repertoire/2010-2011/Part%20III/2010-2011_Part%20III.pdf

The USA, by way of a [consistent pattern](#) of interferences in the affairs of China (as well as for example, Syria, Iran, Russia, Venezuela and a hundred other countries), is clearly along the path of attempting “regime change,” in China as it has tried so many times in so many other nations. This consistent pattern of actions demonstrates contempt for law, the human rights of the people in targeted nations and in the case of China an incitement to violence that United States’ covert actions have already brought about in [Hong Kong](#) and [Xinjiang](#).

Strategic Competition Act of 2021's violations of international law

Universal Declaration of Human Rights

Preamble

“Whereas recognition of the inherent dignity and of the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace in the world, Whereas disregard and contempt for human rights have resulted in barbarous acts which have outraged the conscience of mankind, and the advent of a world in which human beings shall enjoy freedom of speech and belief and freedom from fear and want has been proclaimed as the highest aspiration of the common people, Whereas it is essential, if man is not to be compelled to have recourse, as a last resort, to rebellion against tyranny and oppression, that human rights should be protected by the rule of law...

“Article 19 Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.”

https://www.ohchr.org/EN/UDHR/Documents/UDHR_Translation_s/eng.pdf

On can only wonder if the phrase: “...disregard and contempt for human rights have resulted in barbarous acts” used above human rights law foundation document might include the [Vietnam, Afghanistan](#) and [Iraq](#) Wars(?) considering the millions of innocents killed and routine use of torture by the USA.

[https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#%22itemid%22:\[%22001-123768%22\]}](https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#%22itemid%22:[%22001-123768%22]})

<https://news.un.org/en/story/2020/03/1058741>

<https://rethinkingslic.org/blog/state-responsibility/50-cia-black-sites-and-extraordinary-rendition-before-the-european-court-of-human-rights-some-thoughts-from-a-secondary-liability-perspective>

<https://scholar.harvard.edu/files/schmidtandsikkinkpartnersincrim.pdf>

<https://www.aclu.org/other/enduring-abuse-torture-and-cruel-treatment-united-states-home-and-abroad-executive-summary>

<https://www.aljazeera.com/indepth/features/2016/03/dark-prisoners-cia-torture-programme-160326051331796.html>

<https://www.businessinsider.com/trump-says-torture-absolutely-works-2017-1>

<https://www.cvt.org/ending-torture>

<https://www.dw.com/en/lithuania-romania-aided-cia-torture-by-hosting-secret-detention-sites-court-rules/a-44020215>

<https://www.globalresearch.ca/more-british-complicity-exposed-latest-cia-torture-unredacted-report/5685575>

<https://www.hrw.org/news/2014/12/09/usa-and-torture-history-hypocrisy>

<https://www.icc-cpi.int/afghanistan>

<https://www.jstor.org/stable/29768307?seq=1>

<https://www.motherjones.com/politics/2013/03/rape-wartime-vietnam/>

<https://www.nytimes.com/2016/10/09/world/cia-torture-guantanamo-bay.html>

<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/01/25/us/politics/cia-detainee-prisons.html>

<https://www.nytimes.com/2019/12/04/us/politics/cia-torture-drawings.html>

<https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-trump-prisons/trump-administration-could-reinstate-secret-overseas-cia-prisons-washpost-idUSKBN15922L>

<https://www.scmp.com/news/world/united-states-canada/article/1975429/cia-documents-offer-glimpse-inside-secret-black-site>

<https://www.therenditionproject.org.uk/#>

Did the US ever sign this foundational human rights law document?

U.S. Finally Ratifies Human Rights Covenant, June 28, 1992

By Jimmy Carter

[This article originally appeared in the Christian Science Monitor, Boston, Mass., June 29, 1992.]

“Many United States citizens have no idea that for over two decades our country refused to ratify what surely is one of the most important international human rights documents of our time. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), which establishes universal standards for the protection of basic civil and

political liberties, is one of three documents that comprise the 'International Bill of Rights.'

"In 1966, the ICCPR was adopted by the United Nations, but no action was taken by this country to ratify it until October 1977, at which time I signed the Covenant and submitted it to the Senate for advice and consent as required by our Constitution. The Senate gave this consent in April 1992, and in early June, George Bush signed the instrument of ratification. On June 8, 1992, the US, one of the key players in drafting the Covenant, finally ratified this important human rights treaty."

<https://www.cartercenter.org/news/documents/doc1369.html>

The 30 rights enshrined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights represent the very foundation of human rights law.

Through ratification of international [human rights treaties](#), Governments undertake to put into place domestic measures and legislation compatible with their treaty obligations and duties. Where domestic legal proceedings fail to address human rights abuses, mechanisms and procedures for individual complaints or communications are available at the regional and international levels to help ensure that international human rights standards are indeed respected, implemented, and enforced at the local level.

<https://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/InternationalLaw.aspx>

Propaganda and Freedom of the Media

"Others (Kearney, 2007) credibly argue that a distinct crime of 'direct and public incitement to aggression,' or propaganda for war, should be included in the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court.⁶¹ They further state that the meaning of propaganda for war is "only as imprecise as states wish it to be". (P. 32)(Ref: 62) Definitional broadness does not necessarily bring about vagueness of the notion. Any distinct formula of propaganda for war, nationally or internationally, will have to take into account the scope of the crime suggested by the UNHRC in General comment No. 11: it 'extends to all forms of propaganda threatening or resulting in an act of aggression or breach of the peace contrary to the Charter of the United Nations.'"⁶³

<https://www.osce.org/files/f/documents/b/3/203926.pdf>

The avalanche of anti-Asian violent crimes in the US since President Trump's false and oft-repeated statements regarding the so-called "China-virus" and "Kung-Flu" proves beyond any shadow of a doubt incitement to racist violence. President [Biden](#) and now Congress have only added fuel to this fire in the international arena.

- <https://www.wsj.com/articles/biden-imposes-his-first-sanctions-on-chinese-officials-ahead-of-bilateral-meeting-11615976219>
- <https://www.china-briefing.com/news/us-china-relations-in-the-biden-era-a-timeline/>
- <https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/politics-news/biden-admin-announces-sanctions-against-chinese-officials-over-human-rights-n1261745>
- <https://www.cnbc.com/2021/04/29/biden-100-days-china-tech-battle-sees-sanctions-remain-alliances-made.html>
- <https://apnews.com/article/joe-biden-donald-trump-beijing-21e5618ad4cb844639bdcf3d5a5ac2ed>

Human rights law prohibits '**incitement to war**,' and the long list of defamatory, unproved allegations against China and a large segment of alternative media worldwide, in addition to the heavy handed overt and covert methods described to limit China's media rights as described in very clear language in the Strategic Competition Act - 2021 are highly provocative and defiant violations of International law.

In regards to China see: The Prohibition of Propaganda for War in international law — as set forth in Article 20(1) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights which states: "**Article 20. 1. Any propaganda for war shall be prohibited by law. 2. Any advocacy of national, racial or religious hatred that constitutes incitement to discrimination, hostility or violence shall be prohibited by law.**"

Former President Trump's endlessly repeating "China Virus," contributed to the incitement to war that the Senate Foreign Relations Committee is now using as a platform for more hatred, discrimination and aggression, both domestically and internationally.

Trump's 'Chinese Virus' tweet helped lead to rise in racist anti-Asian Twitter content: Study - "Don't attach locations or ethnicity to the disease," the WHO said.

By Dr. Mishal Reja, 19 March 2021

<https://abcnews.go.com/Health/trumps-chinese-virus-tweet-helped-lead-rise-racist/story?id=76530148>

<https://fair.org/home/western-media-incite-anti-asian-racism-when-they-join-in-cold-war-against-china/>

Domestic racism is being promoted by politicians in the US in support of American hegemonic goals. Asian American victims of hate crimes are seen as nothing more than “collateral damage” in the [American march](#) towards global domination.

China has explicitly been labeled as Enemy Number 1.

- 2020.12.03 “China the ‘greatest threat to democracy and freedom,’ US spy chief warns, Reuters in Washington,” <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2020/dec/03/china-beijing-america-democracy-freedom>
- 2020.07.23 “China is enemy number one: Mike Pompeo gives a speech - The Secretary of State’s address unapologetically cements the current administration’s view that the U.S. is locked in a ‘new Cold War’ with the rival superpower.” By Aidan Denahy
- “Cold War rhetoric was abundant in Mike Pompeo’s speech this afternoon on the state of U.S.-China ties. Pompeo’s declaration that all communists are liars and that nations must “pick a side” between “freedom and tyranny” is certain to speed up the downfall of U.S.-China relations.”
- <https://supchina.com/2020/07/23/china-is-enemy-number-one-mike-pompeo-gives-a-speech/>

The Senate Foreign Relations Committee’s Strategic Competition Act of 2021 is a further egregious violation of Article 20(1) propaganda for war.

US Attorneys can claim that their slander of China in the Strategic Competition Act - 2021 is self-defense, however that claim is precluded by:

- Definitional broadness does not necessarily bring about vagueness of the notion. Any distinct formula of propaganda for war, nationally or internationally, will have to take into account the scope of the crime suggested by the UNHRC in General comment No. 11: it “extends to all forms of propaganda threatening or resulting in an act of aggression or breach of the peace contrary to the Charter of the United Nations.” (63) While the UNHRC refers to all forms of propaganda for war, it makes an important exclusion from the scope of the crime by saying that “[t]he provisions of article 20, paragraph 1, do not prohibit advocacy of the sovereign right of self-defence or the right of peoples to self-determination and independence in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations.” 64 *By self-defence, the Charter means exclusively measures taken by a Member of the United Nations “if an armed attack occurs against” it.*

- <https://www.osce.org/files/f/documents/b/3/203926.pdf> (Italics added by this author.)
- Both individuals and states who believe their human rights have been violated in regards to freedom of expression and the press, Complaint Procedures and Forms for the HUMAN RIGHTS COMMITTEE - Monitoring Civil and Political Rights - can be downloaded from:
<https://ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/CCPR/Pages/CCPRAIndex.aspx>

China has not attacked the USA, has given no indication that it ever intends to, and does not have a habit of attacking other nations as does the USA.

It's Aggression When 'They' Do It, but Defense When 'We' Do Worse by Alan MacLeod

Aggression, in international politics, is commonly defined as the use of armed force against another sovereign state, not justified by self-defense or international authority. Any state being described as aggressive in foreign or international reporting, therefore, is almost by definition in the wrong. It's a word that seems easy to apply to the United States, which launched 81 foreign [interventions](#) between 1946 and 2000 alone. In the 21st century, the United States has attacked, invaded or occupied the sovereign states of Afghanistan, Iraq, Libya, Syria, Pakistan, Yemen and Somalia. Despite the US record, Western corporate media overwhelmingly reserve the word "aggression" for official enemy nations—whether or not it's warranted. In contrast, US behavior is almost never categorized as aggressive, thereby giving readers a misleading picture of the world...

<https://fair.org/home/its-aggression-when-they-do-it-but-defense-when-we-do-worse/>

Furthermore, China has only reacted with minimum counter-sanctions to a much broader and highly aggressive array of economic sanctions against it. The entire exchange of sanctions was initiated by the now disgraced [Trump administration](#), definitively not by China. [President Biden](#) unfortunately has decided to [further escalate](#) that unwarranted aggression, and the pricy Congressional war propaganda titled Strategic Competition Act of 2021 will be added to his legacy whatever the outcome. Please recall Joe Biden was [Chair of the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations in 2002](#) and argued strongly in favor of the resolution granting President Bush the authority to invade Iraq. Unfortunately, instead of being the defendant in a war crimes tribunal, he is now President of the USA owing to corporate control of the US media.

Violations of American Constitutional law and case law including Supreme Court decisions

Suppressing western social justice/anti-empire alt-news publications that investigate corruption in American government, e.g. funding for defamatory attacks on foreign nations, are egregious infringements of 1st and 14th Amendment rights. There is extensive case law including Supreme Court Decisions affirming the rights to free speech and free press, which are dismissive of frivolous charges of "subversion" and other baseless McCarthy Era accusations.

Definitive Supreme Court decisions include:

Yates v. United States, 354 U.S. 298 (1957)

<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/354/298/> (Leaders of Communist Party in California vs. USA. Decision: The state must prove "imminent threat" to suppress news, which they could not do and so lost this landmark case. The First Amendment right to freedom of the press was upheld.)

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/supremecourt/text/354/298>

Brandenburg v. Ohio, 395 U.S. 444 (1969)

<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/395/444/> (Right wing militia)

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/supremecourt/text/395/444>

Red Lion Broadcasting Co., Inc. v. FCC, 395 U.S. 367 (1969)

<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/395/367/>

"Safeguarding the public's right to "an uninhibited marketplace of ideas" requires diversity among those who own and control media outlets, to ensure that Americans remain free to choose among many sources of information, viewpoints, and ideas."

New York Times v. United States, 403 U.S. 713 (1971)

<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/403/713/> The United States, which brought these actions to enjoin publication in the New York Times and in the Washington Post of certain classified material, has not met the "heavy burden of showing justification for the enforcement of such a [prior] restraint."

<https://www.lexisnexis.com/community/casebrief/p/casebrief-n-y-times-co-v-united-states> The Court held that the government did not meet its burden of showing justification for the imposition of a prior restraint of expression. Furthermore, it stated that under the First Amendment, the press must be left free to publish news, whatever the source, without censorship, injunctions, or prior restraints, and that the guarding of military and diplomatic secrets at the expense of informed representative government was not justified.

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/media> The Supreme Court found that there is a heavy presumption against prior restraint of the press, and that the respondent's (here, the United States) vague use of the word "security" is not enough to overcome the importance of the freedom of the press guaranteed by the First Amendment.

The following are also relevant cases:

- *Rex v. Zenger* (1735)
- *People v. Croswell* (1804)
- *Near v. Minnesota* (1931) - A state law allowing prior restraint was unconstitutional. This decision also extended protection of press freedom to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment.
- *New York Times v. Sullivan* (1964)
- *Garrison v. Louisiana* (1964)
- *Curtis Publishing Co. v. Butts and AP v. Walker* (1967)
- *Nebraska Press Association v. Stuart* (1976)
- *Hustler v. Falwell* (1988)

The difference between the current asymmetric incipient war against China (Russia, Iran and Syria) and the last series of wars in Vietnam, Afghanistan and Iraq is:

The U.S. is currently in the process of creating an illegal media blackout to ensure American voters and people worldwide cannot access alternative views on news besides that generated by corporate-owned, American government approved mainstream sources.

Pre-existing news suppression and American government propaganda systems

In many ways the Strategic Competition Act of 2021 is "just" an amplification of mechanisms and systems of (illegal) news suppression and propaganda dissemination that have been in operation at least since 2016.

"Back in September 2016 Reuters ran an article declaring that the [First Draft Coalition](#), including Google, Facebook, Twitter, New York Times, Washington Post, BuzzFeed News, Agence France-Presse and CNN intended to launch [an effort to combat fake news](#). A shadowy outfit calling itself PropOrNot surfaced in November 2016

with an anonymously compiled list of 199 sites it said “reliably echo Russian propaganda.” More than half the sites were right wing nutjobs, from the Nazis at StormFront to the libertarians at the Ron Paul Institute. But about twenty or so were entirely credible sources of news and information to the left of mainstream Democrats, including [DemocracyNow!](#) the [World Socialist Web Site](#), [Alternet](#), [Naked Capitalism](#), [Counterpunch](#), [TruthOut!](#) and Black Agenda Report. It’s worth noting that Black Agenda Report is the ONLY site owned and run by black people and aimed at an African American audience to be singled out in this manner.”

<https://www.blackagendareport.com/google-censors-the-real-left>

Also see:

<https://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2016/12/washington-post-backlash.html> &

<https://www.wsws.org/en/articles/2017/09/19/goog-s19.html>

According to [Black Agenda Report](#), Google also has formed a coalition with outside thought police and government propaganda specialists like the [First Draft Coalition](#) whose founding members include [Bellingcat](#), [Eyewitness Media Hub](#), [Emergent](#), [Meedan](#), [Reported.ly](#) (now defunct), [Storyful](#) and [Verification Junkie](#).

- <https://thegrayzone.com/2020/06/11/meet-wikipedias-ayn-rand-loving-founder-and-wikimedia-foundations-regime-change-operative-ceo>
- <https://thegrayzone.com/2021/03/24/author-bellingcat-opcw-whistleblower>
- <https://thegrayzone.com/2020/06/11/meet-wikipedias-ayn-rand-loving-founder-and-wikimedia-foundations-regime-change-operative-ceo>

In addition to the above, other government and government affiliated entities working illegally in suppression of alternative news publications within the US include:

- FBI’s Foreign Influence Task Force ([FTIF](#))
- [US Agency for Global Media - Global Engagement Center](#)
- Harvard Kennedy School’s [Shorenstein Center on Media, Politics and Public Policy](#)
- [Atlantic Council’s Digital Forensic Research Lab \(DFRLab\)](#)
- [Graphika](#) an [FBI and Pentagon-backed](#) private [intelligence firm](#)
- USC Center on Public Diplomacy (see below)

USC Center for Public Diplomacy features articles by Freedomhouse. And, what is Freedomhouse?

Other Neocons populating NED’s board of directors includes [Elliot Abrams](#), [Francis Fukuyama](#), [Zalmay Khalilzad](#), and [Will Marshall](#).

One pro-war Neocon could have been an anomaly - five begins to

fit a pattern. It should be noted that NED's subsidiary, **Freedom House**, also hosts corporate lobbyists and pro-war Neocons as well, including Kenneth Adelman.

NED Funds Your Local "Pro-Democracy Activists," But Who Funds NED?

One of NED's subsidiaries, Freedom House, is [admittedly funded by multinational corporations including AT&T, defense contractors BAE Systems and Northrop Grumman](#), industrial equipment exporter Caterpillar, tech-giants Google and Facebook, and financiers including Goldman Sachs.

<https://landdestroyer.blogspot.com/2016/06/the-national-endowment-for-democracy.html>

BAE Systems makes munitions, heavy weapons and military electronic systems. In 2020 they made political campaign "donations" of \$1,772,531, and spent \$3,194,000 on lobbying. Joe Biden was the largest recipient of their political "donations."

Northrop Grumman has "an annual revenue in excess of \$30 billion, and is one of the world's largest weapons manufacturers and military technology providers. In 2020 they made [political campaign "donations"](#) of \$6,658,879, and spent \$12,050,000 on political lobbying. Joe Biden was the largest recipient of their political donations.ⁱ

Articles by Freedomhouse on the USC Center on Public Diplomacy's website are venomous in their appraisal of China, e.g., "Beijing's Global Megaphone: The Expansion of Chinese Communist Party Media Influence Since 2017."

They seem to have "a problem" with China having any media that advocates for itself, and if one repeats the word "communist" enough times, well that is all the proof necessary that it is evil. And then there's: "China in Africa: Are Beijing's Confucius Centers a Boon or Bane for Cameroon Youth?" Are [Confucian values](#) really so terrible? (Google is now suppressing articles with positive views of Confucian philosophy as I had to carefully read through seven pages of results to find one that isn't insulting in some way. This is a new and unfortunate development in Google's site ranking algorithm.)

The **USC Center on Public Diplomacy** is poorly named. It should more accurately be called: [USC Department of American War Propaganda](#) and [Racist Hate Emissions](#)." It unambiguously supports American hegemonic wars and the [slaughter of innocents](#) around the world to make the shareholders in weapons corporations ever richer.

Also see: <https://blackagendareport.com/yellow-peril-and-red-scare-forum-anti-asian-racism-0>

If ever there was proof that Eisenhower was exactly correct in his famous Last Speech warnings about the [dangers of the military industrial complex](#), here you go. The case of the government and quasi-government organizations mentioned above proves that this shadowy entity should more accurately be called the *military-industrial-media* complex now.

American ally nations' foreign media manipulations

American ally nations have similar and, in some cases, even more aggressive surveillance, targeting and attack software than the US.

The innovations on the market have proven to be devastatingly effective. Canadian privacy research group Citizen Lab's work in this field has shed light on a range of manufacturers pushing such products as FinFisher, the Remote Control System (RCS) of Hacking Team, and Israel's own NSO Group's Pegasus. As Sarah McKune and Ron Deibert observed in 2017, "business is booming for a specialized market to facilitate the digital attacks, monitoring, and intelligence-cum-evidence-gathered conducted by government entities and their proxies." Pegasus spyware remains one of the NSO Group's most damnably and dangerously effective products, used to target individuals in 45 countries with impunity.

<https://www.counterpunch.org/2019/06/26/violent-voyeurism-surveillance-spyware-and-human-rights/>

Illegal? Of course, but American Exceptionalism extends to all American close ally nations, at least until they become targets themselves. Law, like justice in the vernacular really is: "Just-us-white-folks," [and/or](#) "Just-us-rich-folks," depending on the circumstances.

Review of Strategic Competition Act 2021 Sections addressing media manipulation, propaganda dissemination, and Senate approved funding allocations

TITLE I—INVESTING IN A COMPETITIVE FUTURE - Subtitle A—Science and technology

Subtitle D—Countering Chinese Communist Party influence

(Sections 131 - 138)

SEC. 132 - Supporting independent media and countering disinformation.

There is authorized to be appropriated **\$300,000,000** for each of fiscal years 2022 through 2026 for the Countering Chinese Influence Fund to counter the malign influence of the Chinese Communist Party globally. **SEC. 132**

(c) Authorization of appropriations.—There is authorized to be appropriated, for each of fiscal years 2022 through 2026 for the United States Agency for Global Media, **\$100,000,000** for ongoing and new programs to support local media, build independent media, *combat Chinese disinformation inside and outside of China*, invest in technology to subvert censorship, and monitor and evaluate these programs.

The US will spend **\$400 million a year** to censor any news from a Chinese perspective, while investing in technology to “subvert censorship” and flood China with American propaganda.

The USA already funded and fought a Civil War in China intermittently between 1927 - 1949. At the beginning of that war the [Nazis](#) fight alongside the US against the much more popular Chinese Communist Party. Only a week after the Japanese surrendered in 1945, the US turned its planes around and started bombing their former Chinese allies.

“While statistics vary, it has been suggested that up to 2.5 million people died during the 1945-1949 phase of the Chinese Civil War. Several historians suggest the death toll for the entire Chinese Civil War period (1927-49) [exceeded six million.](#)”

It appears the Trump and Biden administrations [are working towards](#) fostering another civil war in China, except, this time American voters will be in a news vacuum. By pumping hundreds of millions of dollars into an information war against China, while (illegally) suppressing Chinese publications and alternative news on the internet, Americans will *only* have access to American corporate-driven mainstream news. This is so totally illegal in the US based on First Amendment Rights and several Supreme Court decisions. Yet, if the mainstream news doesn’t report it, it doesn’t exist for most voters the US.

There’s a lot of about China’s so-called Communist system (which is actually a socialist republic) the Strategic Competition Act of 2021 doesn’t mention.

(In China) “90% of families in the country own their home, giving China one of the highest home ownership rates in the world. What’s more is that 80% of these homes are owned outright, without mortgages or any other liens. On top of this, north of 20% of urban households own more than one home.”

<https://www.forbes.com/sites/wadeshepard/2016/03/30/how-people-in-china-afford-their-outrageously-expensive-homes/?sh=11e03143a3ce>

According to a 2017 HSBC fact sheet, 70% of Chinese millennials (ages 19 to 36) already [own their own homes](#). American young people cannot afford to buy homes because they are saddled with student debt, a millstone that now averages \$37,000 per student and will be carried an average of 20 years before it is paid off. A recent survey found that 80% of American workers are living [paycheck to paycheck](#). Another found that [60% of U.S. millennials](#) could not come up with \$500 to cover their tax bills.

In China, by contrast, [student debt](#) is virtually nonexistent. Heavy government subsidies have made higher education cheap enough that students can work their way through college with a part-time job. Health care is also subsidized by the government, with a state-run health insurance program similar to Canada's. The program doesn't cover everything, but medical costs are still [substantially lower](#) than in the U.S. Public transportation, too, is quite affordable in China, and it is fast, efficient and ubiquitous...

<https://www.laprogressive.com/home-ownership/>

Is the above “communist propaganda?” I don’t think so – those are American publications using American references.

China is not what most people outside China think. People actually have a fair chance at life without living under huge debts their entire lives. Those huge debts only enrich the banks, while most workers suffer. China isn’t a “communist” system anyways. The theory goes that socialism is the necessary step towards communism. China isn’t a pure socialist state either, it’s really a republic like the US. Like the US it has both capitalist and socialist qualities with the difference being that the government here works for the people, instead of just for the rich.

The New Testament Bible makes it appear Jesus was a communist.

Jesus said to him, “If you want to be complete, go and sell your possessions and give to the poor, and you will have treasure in heaven; and come, follow Me.”

Matthew 19:21

A capitalist would never say that. The only reason the founders of Russian communism outlawed religion was because they were afraid of the power of the Russian Orthodox

Church. China incidentally has more Catholics than Italy and about the [same number of Muslims](#) as Saudi Arabia. It's not anti-religion and people can practice as they like.

I've lived here 12 years, traveled all around the country and haven't seen or heard anything like the American newspapers claim. I can definitely state with absolute certainty that Muslims are far safer in China than the US (being a Muslim myself that has lived in both countries). Muslims in China have more political and *economic* clout in China than foreign media has ever acknowledged and Chinese authorities know they have always been under the microscope of western media. Thus, the western intelligence services have had to radicalize, train and import terrorists into northwest China, and the western mainstream media machine has had to resort to fabrications in order to defame China.

- <https://thegrayzone.com/2018/08/23/un-did-not-report-china-internment-camps-uighur-muslims/>
- <https://thegrayzone.com/2019/12/21/china-detaining-millions-uyghurs-problems-claims-us-ngo-researcher/>
- <https://thegrayzone.com/2020/01/22/busting-pro-war-propaganda-what-china-is-really-like/>
- <https://thegrayzone.com/2021/01/28/ny-times-uighur-china-genocide-falun-gong/>
- <https://thegrayzone.com/2021/03/17/report-uyghur-genocide-sham-university-neocon-punish-china/>
- <https://thegrayzone.com/2021/04/30/xinjiang-forced-labor-china-uyghur/>
- <https://www.mintpressnews.com/us-was-at-war-uyghur-terrorists-now-claims-etim-doesnt-exist/276916/>
- <https://www.mintpressnews.com/xinjiang-native-speaks-western-media-jeopardizing-uyghurs-interests/276765/>
- <https://www.mintpressnews.com/ned-uyghur-network-cair-director-rally-around-china-propaganda/276663/>

US mainstream newspapers lie so much it's not surprising Americans don't trust their own mainstream news.

Americans Remain Distrustful of Mass Media, by Megan Brenan, September 30, 2020

WASHINGTON, D.C. -- At a time when Americans are relying heavily on the media for information about the coronavirus pandemic, the presidential election and other momentous events, the public remains largely distrustful of the mass media. Four in 10 U.S. adults say they have "a great deal" (9%) or "a fair amount" (31%) of trust and confidence in the media to report the news "fully, accurately,

and fairly," while six in 10 have "not very much" trust (27%) or "none at all" (33%).

<https://news.gallup.com/poll/321116/americans-remain-distrustful-mass-media.aspx>

Is that communist propaganda? I don't think so.

And now the US government is going to spend \$400,000,000 more on telling even bigger lies in fake "independent media," while at the same time they're illegally suppressing real alternative news and trying to pick a fight with China to start a war and simply mass-murder the competition. That is what I call anti-competitive!

SEC. 134. Authorization of appropriations for the Fulbright-Hays Program.

Authorization of appropriations for the Fulbright-Hays Program. There are authorized to be appropriated, for the 6-year period beginning on September 30, 2021, **\$105,500,000**, which shall be expended to promote education, training, research, and foreign language skills through the Fulbright-Hays Program, in accordance with section 102(b) of the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961. SEC. 134. Authorization of appropriations for the Fulbright-Hays Program.

Info-war Subtotal: \$505,500,000

The Fulbright Program has a notorious long-standing relationship with American premier so-called intelligence organization the CIA.

- https://www.democracynow.org/2005/8/3/the_intelligence_university_complex_cia_secretly
- <https://www.counterpunch.org/2005/03/12/the-cia-s-campus-spies/>
- <https://www.counterpunch.org/2019/02/15/using-students-teachers-journalists-and-other-professionals-as-spies-puts-everyone-in-jeopardy/>
- https://archive.org/stream/MKultraAustraliaArticle_201903/MKultra%20Australia%20Article_djvu.txt
- [https://assets.website-files.com/5b53d84645c6a350274a79a0/5d5d48bfbbfb93959214b6a_eyes-wide-open-_fiona-barnett_first-edition_august-2019%20\(1\).pdf](https://assets.website-files.com/5b53d84645c6a350274a79a0/5d5d48bfbbfb93959214b6a_eyes-wide-open-_fiona-barnett_first-edition_august-2019%20(1).pdf)

Consequently, this Fulbright program can be considered "spreading fake news," e.g., in support of American hegemonic assumptions and ideals, bundled into the "cultural exchanges," and "English lessons."

“And today children, we will learn about your evil Chinese COMMUNIST government, and the truly wonderful, peaceful and loving USA! Now repeat after me: ‘COMMUNIST BAD!’ ‘Democracy GOOD!’” (I’ve seen foreign “English teachers” in China teach exactly like that.)

SEC. 136. Supporting independent media and countering disinformation.

(f) Authorization of appropriations.—There is authorized to be appropriated, for each of fiscal years 2022 through 2026, **\$170,000,000** for ongoing and new programs in support of press freedom, training, and protection of journalists.

Info-war Subtotal: \$675,500,000

SEC. 136 is classic D.C. “double-talk.” Press freedom here means only corporate-owned mainstream (largely fake) news amplifying government narratives will have freedom while government suppression of all other views escalates. “Training” here means indoctrination into American corporate values, assumptions, rules and “guidelines,” and “journalists” here refers mainly to American fake journalists that act as spies and echo-chambers for corporate interests working overseas primarily as “agents of influence,” and other branches of espionage.

<http://souciant.com/2015/11/christian-intelligence-agency/>

<https://www.peoplesworld.org/article/confession-from-the-profession-presstitutes-in-the-service-of-the-cia>

<https://www.amazon.com/Journalists-Hire-How-Buys-News/dp/1944505474>

<https://www.refworld.org/docid/47c567c020.html>

Press freedom? Google, a virtual [monopoly](#), which [works closely](#) with the CIA suppresses the search engine rankings of a large number of some of the best alternative news publications within the USA.

How the USA suppresses real independent media

- <https://www.blackagendareport.com/google-censors-the-real-left>
- <https://caitlinjohnstone.substack.com/p/the-cia-used-to-infiltrate-the-media>
- <https://thegrayzone.com/2020/11/11/richard-stengel-propaganda-usagm-biden>
- <https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2016/dec/16/google-autocomplete-rightwing-bias-algorithm-political-propaganda>
- <https://www.mintpressnews.com/crackdown-alternative-media-google-deletes-press-tv-youtube/264142/>
- <https://www.wsj.com/articles/how-google-interferes-with-its-search-algorithms-and-changes-your-results-11573823753>

- <https://mindmatters.ai/2019/07/google-engineer-reveals-search-engine-bias/>
- <https://pv-uploads1.s3.amazonaws.com/uploads/2019/08/news-black-list-site-for-google-now.txt>
- <https://www.mintpressnews.com/watch-combating-facebook-google-censorship/252840/>
- <https://www.mintpressnews.com/censoring-iran/248344/>
- <https://www.wsbs.org/en/articles/2017/09/19/goog-s19.html>

The only “journalists” the USA protects are those working on behalf of American government/corporate entities, and they are not really journalists, but rather state affiliated propagandists, AKA **Presstitutes**. Real journalists around the world **live in real danger** from illegal American overt and covert actions and *American ally dictator’ retribution for publishing anything even resembling the truth*. American installed “dictators” often fly under the title of either “President” or “Prime Minister.” However, when that so-called president or prime minister is elected to office based on fake news planted by the CIA in that target country’s mainstream news media, they are nothing more than dictators of the USA who do *not* represent *the people* of their own nations but rather only American interests in that country.

SEC. 137 Global engagement center.

(a) Finding.—Congress established the Global Engagement Center to “direct, lead, and coordinate efforts” of the Federal Government to “recognize, understand, expose, and counter foreign state and non-state propaganda and disinformation globally”. **SEC. 137.**

The Global Engagement Center’s [proposed 2021 budget](#) was:

In a tight Public Diplomacy budget landscape, the GEC’s FY 2020 budget has increased to \$64.3 million which represents an incremental but significant increase of \$8.9 million over FY 2019. With that said, the Administration is requesting a greater increase for the GEC budget in FY 2021 to a total request of **\$138** million to match the growing challenge of countering foreign propaganda and disinformation.

That figure, \$138 million *per annum* is designated to cover China, Russia and Syria. Presumably China will garner the lion’s share of that budget. \$75 million seems like a reasonable guess as to that share, with **\$50 million** being a conservative estimate. Being a conservative myself, I’ll add \$50 million to the running tally of US taxpayers’ money devoted every year to totally controlling the news inside the USA and China, and attacking China via the inside via the media and other American propaganda.

Info-war Subtotal: \$725,500,000

TITLE II—Investing in alliances and partnerships - Subtitle A—Strategic and diplomatic matters

SEC. 207. Regulatory exchanges with allies and partners.

(1) bilateral coordination and collaboration with countries where greater regulatory coherence, harmonization of standards, or communication and dialogue between technical agencies is achievable and best advances the economic and national security interests of the United States;

(2) multilateral coordination and coordination where greater regulatory coherence, harmonization of standards, or dialogue on other relevant regulatory matters is achievable and best advances the economic and national security interests of the United States, including with—

(A) the European Union;

(B) the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation;

(C) the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN);

(D) the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD); and

(E) multilateral development banks; and

(3) regulatory practices and standards setting bodies focused on key economic sectors and emerging technologies.

(c) Participation by non-Governmental entities.—With regard to the program described in subsection (a), the Secretary may facilitate, including through the use of amounts appropriated pursuant to subsection (e), the participation of private sector representatives, and other relevant organizations and individuals with relevant expertise, as appropriate and to the extent that such participation advances the goals of such program...

(e) Authorization of appropriations.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be appropriated **\$2,500,000** for each of fiscal years 2022 through 2026 to carry out this section. **SEC. 207.**

Info-war Subtotal: \$728,000,000

What does this mean? They will network with allies and subordinate dictators in controlling their industries (including news obviously) in order to attack China and its industries’ “regulatory practices” to the “best advantages of the economic and national security interests of the United States.” On the surface it seems almost innocuous; “regulatory practices and standards setting bodies focused on key economic sectors and emerging technologies,” however Washington’s repeated insistence that for example Huawei is a security risk is exactly the kind of disinformation they will push.

One can only assume with such a paltry budget as \$2,500,000 there is other finance supplied by other American departments and/or agencies, presumably led by the State Department to facilitate this propaganda effort (under the guise of “diplomacy”). The phrase “new and emerging technologies” suggests [Huawei’s 5G network](#) is the primary target of this [bullying](#), however American control of foreign news and other media has a long history which can dovetail with this effort. It is also worth noting they intend to coordinate with both government and non-government organizations which suggests setting up “private” anti-China disinformation services regarding “regulatory practices.” One might call this “collecting a gang of dis-info-thugs for a beatdown” on China’s Huawei 5-G network. To their credit Germany at least has not been cowed by American threats and allows [Huawei participation](#) in their 5-G network system much to the displeasure of the American political-media machine.

Besides China three other nations are pursuing 5-G networks: Ericsson (Sweden), Nokia (Finland) and Qualcomm (USA). Thus, collecting a team for an anti-competitive beatdown of China’s 5-G network led by Huawei is predictable, regardless as to how illegal it may be. Buoyed by blind faith in [American Exceptionalism](#), American politicians and corporate leaders believe no laws do or ever will apply to them.

Delusions

[Delusions of grandeur](#) often co-exist with a lack of empathy and sense of invincibility. Roughly [2/3](#) of people with bipolar mental illness suffer from delusions of grandeur; likewise, those with Narcissistic personality disorders and dementia also frequently exhibit delusions of grandeur. Substance abuse, particularly cocaine and crystal meth are associated with many different kinds of delusions including grandiosity and paranoia. An example would be: “Those Chinese are out to get us! We should destroy them all!”

SEC. 215. Enhancement of diplomatic support and economic engagement with Pacific island countries.

(a) Authority.—The Secretary of State and Secretary of Commerce are authorized to hire Locally Employed Staff in Pacific island countries for the purpose of providing increased diplomatic

support and promoting increased economic and commercial engagement between the United States and Pacific Island countries.

(b) Availability of funds.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated to the Department of State and the Department of Commerce for fiscal year 2022, not more than **\$10,000,000**, respectively, shall be available to carry out the purposes of this section.

(1) a detailed description of the additional diplomatic, economic, and commercial engagement and activities in the Pacific island countries provided by Locally Employed Staff; and

(2) an assessment of the impact of the activities with respect to the diplomatic, *economic, and security interests of the United States*.

SEC. 215. (Italics added by this author.)

Info-war Subtotal: \$738,000,000

An extra \$10 million to wine, dine and buy politicians, industry leaders including publishers, editors and reporters in the Pacific Island region in order to undermine China is always useful.

SEC. 216. Increasing Department of State personnel and resources devoted to the Indo-Pacific.

(a) Findings.—Congress makes the following findings:

(e) Authorization of appropriations.—There is authorized to be appropriated **\$2,000,000,000** in bilateral and regional foreign assistance resources to the Indo-Pacific region and **\$1,250,000,000** in diplomatic engagement resources to the Indo-Pacific region. **Sec. 216**

Info-war Subtotal: \$3,988,000,000

To understand this one must take into consider what is meant by the “Indo-Pacific” region.

The Indo-Pacific region is increasingly being viewed as a global centre of gravity, both for its economic and demographic potential, and the security challenges that could frustrate those possibilities.

India—as a champion of the principle of ‘Free and Open Indo-Pacific’ or FOIP—has initiated engagements with its partners in the region, such as the Indo-Pacific Oceans Initiative (IPOI) that aims to ensure the security and stability of the region’s maritime domain.

Even as the stakeholders have outlined a set of seven pillars for the initiative, there is still little clarity as to what can be expected from the IPOI. This paper offers recommendations for the IPOI to enable India play a more proactive and constructive role in the region. The Indo-Pacific region is a vast maritime zone where the interests of many players are engaged: India, Japan, France, and the United States, as well as medium and smaller powers like Australia, Indonesia, and South Africa; there are stakeholders from beyond the region, too.[1] In recent years, uncertainty has heightened in the region owing to China's territorial expansionist agenda, concerns for the United States' long-term commitment to Asia, as well as the limitations of existing multilateral institutions. Indeed, the Indo-Pacific is emerging as the new and expanded theatre of great-power contestation... Response of partner countries: Countries like Australia, Vietnam, and the Philippines have expressed their willingness to cooperate with India on the IPOI. In the past, ASEAN countries have entered into cooperative frameworks that they have found to be focusing on specific issues or tasks. To be sure, ASEAN has also been party to such arrangements as the Five Power Defence Arrangement by the founding members of ASEAN—Malaysia and Singapore—with the UK, Australia, and New Zealand in 1971.

<https://www.orfonline.org/research/indo-pacific-oceans-initiative-towards-coherent-indo-pacific-policy-india/>

India is set to take a lead role in this and may have already started given the sudden spurt of ramped-up anti-China invective suddenly appearing in early May, 2021.

- <https://www.rt.com/news/523019-china-suspends-australia-economic-dialogue/>
- <https://www.rt.com/op-ed/522804-china-australia-morrison-crisis/>
- <https://www.globaltimes.cn/page/202105/1222777.shtml>
- <https://www.rt.com/news/522763-teodoro-locsin-philippines-china/>
- Chinese Embassy in India expresses concern on Chinese vendors' exclusion from 5G trials By Global Times Published: May 05, 2021
<https://www.globaltimes.cn/page/202105/1222724.shtml>

Thus, Congress tossed in an extra \$3,250,000,000 for India to coordinate with most of China's neighbors in an "attack China" info-war program.

Also see:

China-US tension: American commander seeks US\$27 billion to hold back PLA in the Indo-Pacific - Admiral Philip Davidson outlines plan for new military hardware and to hold drills with allies as rivalry with Beijing continues under Biden administration - Submission to US Congress includes proposal to build a US\$2.3 billion constellation of space-based radars, by Laura Zhou in Beijing, 3 Mar, 2021

<https://www.scmp.com/news/china/diplomacy/article/3123808/china-us-tension-american-commander-seeks-us27-billion-hold>

India has long been the golden-boy of the USA, at least since Allen Dulles' exciting adventures there starting in 1914. (Allen Dulles incidentally was the 3rd Director of the CIA and credited with being the founder of the modern CIA. He also for example helped Germany and Japan become the industrial powerhouses they needed to be to launch WWII, helped the Nazi leaders and research scientists escape Germany after WWII, and led the MK-ULTRA research project.) True, India is an apartheid state with a locked-in-iron caste system, but it's nominally a "democracy" with a history of conflicts with China so this year they get an "[Israel-size" gift](#)" from the American taxpayers.

This observation is worth considering. Given the shift in American hegemonic priorities officially launched with [Hillary Clinton's Pivot to Asia](#), prioritizing the destruction of China over total destruction of the Middle East and North Africa, it only makes sense to give India a few billion-extra tax-payer dollars to spearhead that effort. Thus, the "Israel-size gift" does appear logical from the demented point of view inculcated in the American plan to totally rule the entire world forever.

According to [The Atlantic](#) the US standard gift to Israel is \$3.8 billion a year, though [other estimates](#) are much higher.

It is hoped by the Congress that 1) India can "turn" China's Indo-Pacific neighbors against China, and 2) spearhead the IT war against China given that their capabilities and human resources in IT surpass even the US in many respects. One can buy a lot of friends with **\$3,250,000,000.**

Ever since Kautilya (317-293 BCE) - a very powerful and articulate Indian minister famed for writing the *Arthashastra (The Science of Material Gain)*, India has had a "powerful" international diplomat presence. It's difficult to categorize Kautilya really as he was unquestionably the forerunner of Machiavelli in many ways, and one might assert he spearheaded the arts and sciences of corruption and international treachery very early in history.

"The roles and guises recommended for Vyanjana (appearance) agents by the Arthashastra include ascetics, forest hermits, mendicants, cooks, merchants, doctors, astrologers, consumer householders, entertainers, dancers, female agents and others...

"The goals of the secret service, in Arthashastra, was to test the integrity of government officials, spy on cartels and population for conspiracy, to monitor hostile kingdoms suspected of preparing for war or in war against the state, to check spying and propaganda wars by hostile states, *to destabilize enemy states, to get rid of troublesome powerful people who could not be challenged openly.*

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arthashastra#On_spying,_propaganda_and_information

Unlike China, India is very much a hegemonic power for example attaining "[state capture](#)" of South Africa.

What the Guptas pulled off in South Africa has been extensively documented: the backroom deals, the rigged contracts, the wholesale plunder of national resources. The brothers, who declined to comment for this story, have denied all the accusations against them, and have yet to face charges. But the global arc of the tale—from a provincial town in India to the corporate boardrooms of London and New York—offers a case study in a new, systemic form of graft known as “state capture.” This was a modern-day coup d'état, waged with bribery instead of bullets. It demonstrates how an entire country can fall to foreign influences without a single shot being fired—especially when that country is ruled by a divisive president who is skilled at fueling racial resentments, willing to fire his own intelligence chiefs to protect his business interests, and eager to use his elected position to enrich himself with unsavory investors.

<https://www.vanityfair.com/news/2019/03/how-the-gupta-brothers-hijacked-south-africa-corruption-bribes>

Sound familiar?

They already completely control large parts of South Asia and via a network of naturalized first and second-generation US citizens have enormous influence in the US Congress, thus attaining “state capture” of the US as well.

Thus, Congress giving the lion's share of an "attack China campaign" to India is both *quid pro quo*, and a battery of potential powerful info-war weapons to use against China. True India has an ironclad caste system which is nothing less than apartheid but Americans don't seem to care. In evaluating this last observation, one should note the USA has always had an apartheid system too, which only allows very few very obedient token minorities into positions of power.

<https://blackagendareport.com/yellow-skin-white-masks-andrew-yang-and-democratic-partys-school-white-supremacist-thought>

<https://blackagendareport.com/kamala-harris-has-distinguished-career-serving-injustice>

<https://blackagendareport.com/kamala-harris-face-democratic-partys-protest-campaign-against-bernie-sanders>

<https://www.rt.com/op-ed/508991-caitlin-johnstone-biden-raytheon>

This inconvenient "little fact" may lead to the downfall of both the [American](#) and [Hindutva](#) empires as economic polarization spirals and COVID-19 ravages primarily the poor. It is this author's opinion both the [USA and India](#) intentionally allowed COVID-19 to spread freely as part of a covert eugenics program to kill off primarily the poor. Think about it a minute. If [Donald Trump](#) and [Boris Johnson](#) can survive COVID-19, anyone with money can. French Revolution revisited anyone?

The final word on Section 216 is India is now benefitting from Hillary Clinton's Pivot to Asia as the lead attack dog on China, and naturally is the beneficiary of the same kind of big money given to [Israel](#). Hillary Clinton gained notoriety as the lead spokesperson for the Indian Tamil Terrorists in the 1990s and they [donated big money to the Clintons](#), DNC and [Clinton Foundation](#). Incidentally former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton managed to rescue the leadership of the Tamil Terrorists at the end of the Sri Lanka war, and they appear to be working with other terrorist groups primarily in 1) launching false flag operations in support of American interventions, and 2) [media production](#) promoting various terrorist groups. Also see: [Tamil Tigers' Debt to America: US Foreign Policy Adventurism & Sri Lanka's Dilemma](#), (book) by Daya Gamage

"Birds of a feather flock together," as the old saying goes and tragically China will have to be more of a "police state" in view of a highly probable increase in terrorist attacks funded by US taxpayer dollars and rationalized and approved by Congress. In fact, China is not a "police state" as one hardly ever even sees a police officer and China has [far less people in prison than the USA](#) even though it has three times the population. Still, Chinese authorities will have to devote additional resources to protect against the possible or even probably effects of the Strategic Competition Act of 2021 and especially this Section.

Information wars are always a prelude to vast increases in the slaughter of innocents if the US government has anything to do with it. Child abuse? Mass murder of all innocents

is more accurate. This also reminds me of the 9/11 Commission report and subsequent totally unjustified “retaliatory” wars on Afghanistan and Iraq. Let’s get together, blame the wrong people, kill millions of innocents and obliterate their nations!

SEC. 217. Advancing United States leadership in the United Nations System.

(4) monitoring and developing and implementing plans to counter undue influence, especially by authoritarian nations, within the United Nations system;

(8) tracking leadership changes in United Nations secretariat, funds, programs and agencies, and developing strategies to ensure that coalitions of like-minded states are assembled to ensure leadership races are not won by countries that do not share United States interests; and

(d) Authorization of appropriations.—There is authorized to be appropriated not less than **\$5,000,000** for fiscal year 2022 and for each subsequent fiscal year to carry out the responsibilities under subsection (b). **SEC. 217.**

Info-war Subtotal: \$ 3,993,000,000

As with several other Sections in the Strategic Competition Act of 2021 it seems highly likely other departments and agencies of the US chip-in to garner additional clout in the UN. Even one professional murder in the US of say for example a **UN Security Council member** by a freelancer alone could cost close to million dollars.

Setting up extortion, bribery, honey-traps, drug parties and so on for General Assembly members alone is rather expensive, in addition to bribing cleaning staff to for example plant and remove AV devices in offices, photograph documents, etc. A paltry five million doesn’t begin to cover the costs of controlling the United Nations, even given that most of the General Assembly members are from abjectly poor nations due to American dictators’ (nominally called “presidents” and “prime ministers”) control of those nations. *The best way to control the news is control the actors on the ground.* Crushing for example the ICCs investigation into **American War Crimes in Afghanistan** is surely a very high priority for American “**Strategic Competition.**”

PART I—Western Hemisphere

SEC. 245. Sense of Congress regarding United States-Canada relations.

(4) the United States and Canada should enhance cooperation to counter Chinese disinformation, influence operations, economic espionage, and propaganda efforts;

(5) the People's Republic of China's infrastructure investments, particularly in 5G telecommunications technology, extraction of natural resources, and port infrastructure, pose national security risks for the United States and Canada;

(6) the United States should share, as appropriate, intelligence gathered regarding—

(A) Huawei's 5G capabilities; and

(B) the Chinese Government's intentions with respect to 5G expansion;

(8) the United States and Canada must prioritize cooperation on continental defense and in the Arctic, including by modernizing the North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD) to effectively defend the Northern Hemisphere against the range of threats by peer competitors, including long range missiles and high-precision weapons.

“Shine up your long-range missiles boys! That Huawei mobile phone is coming to get us(!)” shrieks the oh-so-very clever but most unwise US Congress. “Those devil Chinese are spreading disinformation about us, influence, and did I say propaganda already? Well! Say it again! They're building PORTS! The savagery of those Chinese devils is beyond belief! Just think, they're giving away COVID-19 vaccines, oxygen generators, respirators and medicines to impoverished countries, just to GET US! TO BUY INFLUENCE!

MFA: China Has Announced Assistance to 82 Countries, WHO and African Union to Fight COVID-19, 2020/03/20

https://www.fmprc.gov.cn/mfa_eng/topics_665678/kjgzbdfyyq/t1759145.shtml

They are devils! We God-fearing AMERICANS stand with our CANADIAN brothers and sisters in this WAR against those yellow rat-bastard FIENDS!”

There is a common saying the USA: "No good deed goes unpunished." I have personally found this to be true.

Frankly the level of logic in the Section 245 strongly reminds me of a malicious 12-year-old boy collecting a gang to torment some innocent kid. It's simply ludicrous, completely devoid of any evidence of harm or harmful intent by any Chinese, and mandates a secretive gathering of white men to combat the imaginary evils of a hallucinated foe.

The word "malign" is used 35 times in the Strategic Competition Act of 2021.

This appears to be a classic example of the Freudian ego defense mechanism called: "psychological projection." This is low-level disinformation at best, however stoking the fires of [racism](#) and beating war drums does reliably quicken the heartbeat of all besotted members of the [Five-Eyes](#).

SEC. 246. Sense of Congress regarding the Government of China's arbitrary imprisonment of Canadian citizens.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Government of the People's Republic of China's apparent arbitrary detention and abusive treatment of Canadian nationals Michael Spavor and Michael Kovrig in apparent retaliation for the Government of Canada's arrest of Meng Wanzhou is deeply concerning...

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Government of the People's Republic of China's apparent arbitrary detention and abusive treatment of Canadian nationals Michael Spavor and Michael Kovrig in apparent retaliation for the Government of Canada's arrest of Meng Wanzhou is deeply concerning;

China is not the only nation to continue to do business with Iran.

European countries set up trade channel with Iran to bypass US sanctions, By Sara Mazloumsaki, Nadine Schmidt and Sheena McKenzie, CNN, January 31, 2019

Berlin (CNN)Germany, France and Britain have joined forces to establish their own trade channel to Iran and circumvent United States sanctions, according to a joint statement made by the so-called E3 on Thursday...

German Foreign Minister Heiko Maas, French Foreign Minister Jean-Yves Le Drian and British Foreign Secretary Jeremy Hunt announced the "special purpose vehicle," known as the Instrument in Support of Trade Exchanges (INSTEX), at a meeting of EU foreign and defense ministers in Bucharest, Romania.

<https://edition.cnn.com/2019/01/31/europe/europe-iran-us-sanctions-payment-grm-gbr-intl>

Yet the US did not order the kidnapping of the children of German or French businesspeople.

The American "sanctioning" of Iran was a *political* decision from the USA, not a court of law and consequently holds no weight in law.

Using Canada to kidnap [Meng Wanzhou](#) because Huawei does business with Iran is a felony – a very serious crime.

It does not appear to be related to vastly more serious espionage charges brought against Canadian nationals Michael Spavor and Michael Kovrig. The Chinese Ministry of State Security leaves known foreign intelligence officers and agents in place for years (for example Hong Kong media tycoon [Jimmy Lai](#), and Agnes Chow Ting). One reason there are so few people in prisons in China is because they take so unbelievably long to collect absolute positive proof before arresting people. (Consequently, trials are rather short.) Chinese officials have other concerns in mind as well, including 1) their image, which they take very, very seriously, and 2) the costs involved in lengthy investigations and imprisoning people. The last thing China wants is to put anyone in prison (the opposite of the USA) and when they do there's going to be some very solid reasons for it.

Can ambitious domestic Chinese intelligence officers plant evidence to frame an innocent foreigner in order to get promotions (as Americans covert operatives so routinely do)? That is possible, however I briefly researched their intelligence services (on Wikipedia) and figured out evidence from a cabal within one intelligence service in China would have to be corroborated by another and that is unlikely to happen unless there really is very strong incontrovertible physical evidence actually in play at the time of the second investigation. If a person is innocent that evidence isn't going to be there. The biggest and oldest still intact spy system in the world belongs to the Catholic Church, and I suspect the Chinese system is at least a bit more complex than that. There are always going to be watchers watching the watchers watching the watchers.

Lastly in regards to SEC. 246 please note the use of the word "apparent": "the Government of the People's Republic of China's apparent arbitrary detention and abusive treatment of Canadian nationals..." I can't know for sure, but "apparent," seems like a

very weak word here. If they knew it was retaliation for the (illegal) arrest and detention of Meng Wanzhou they would write that, or something stronger than the word “apparent.”

Equating those totally different arrests is a form of disinformation, and stroking their long-range NORAD missiles in public is an obscene [phallic display](#) in my opinion.

SEC. 247. Strategy to enhance cooperation with Canada.

(a) In general.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit a strategy to the Committee on Foreign Relations and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives that describes how the United States will enhance cooperation with the Government of Canada in managing relations with the Government of China.

(2) include the development coordination efforts with Canadian counterparts to enhance the cooperation between the United States and Canada with respect to—

(B) democracy and human rights in the People’s Republic of China;

(3) detail diplomatic efforts and future plans to work with Canada to counter Chinese projection of an authoritarian governing model around the world;

(6) detail diplomatic efforts to work with Canada to track and counter Chinese attempts to exert influence across the multilateral system, including at the World Health Organization.

(c) Form.—The strategy required under this section shall be submitted in an unclassified form that can be made available to the public, but may include a classified annex, if necessary.

In regards to (2-B) it appears there will be more sabotage coming from Canadians in Xinjiang, Hong Kong and Tibet, not to mention anywhere else in China they feel like hitting. One can only wonder if the decision makers in DC truly are idiots to paint big targets on all Canadians in China like this.

In regards to (3) it’s pretty hard to project a more despicable and deadly authoritarian model than the US is doing already, so probably the Chinese don’t have to worry about this.

In regards to (6) I feel sorry for the people at WHO, who are going to have to deal with Canadian con artists in addition to Americans with the operational question being: “How

to smear the Chinese best in some new way we haven't tried yet?" WHO is probably staffed by adults, so hopefully they will just smile, nod a lot and show them the door.

And in regards to (c) I'm sure they will feel the need to come up with some "secret plots" as that's what narcissistic sociopaths must do to be part of the "big boys' club." Whatever it is it's going to be highly immoral and illegal, because that's what they do best.

After the deals are sealed by the co-conspirators in a TOP SECRET meeting, they will invariably go out drinking and hire some prostitutes, another behavior sequence characteristic of the sociopathic world of "intelligence." They're just not happy unless they're **hurting someone** which is why most prostitutes need drugs and alcohol just to survive, and explains **UN** and **US State Department** personnel involvement in female prostitution and **trafficking** in Bosnia years after the war was over.

Based on a true story:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E56OYUV7BWw>

Someone ought to inform the International Criminal Court and their wives exactly what these five-eyed miscreants really are up to.

If I were eight years old again, I might be impressed by them, but probably not. Some people just stink regardless as to how often they shower. As it is I only feel disgust in response to them and pity for anyone who has the misfortune of being anywhere near them in any capacity whatsoever.

[This is not to say all State Department and UN officials are bad people. They select some good people the majority can hide behind if anyone turns a microscope on them. Those good people are a minority in my experience and are isolated from the real work of those organizations which is furthering the interests of the American empire. In the UN at the lower levels, one will find a larger percentage of good people, but as one climbs the ranks of power the percentage of good people decreases rapidly.]

Chris Hedges: **Don't Be Fooled By Joe Biden - What is exceptional about America is its culture of sadism**, by Chris Hedges

The grinding machinery of predatory capitalism, and the sadism that defines it, will poison the society as mercilessly under Biden as it did when Donald Trump was conducting his Twitter presidency...

<https://www.mintpressnews.com/chris-hedges-dont-be-fooled-joe-biden-american-exceptionalism/276951/>

PART IV—Africa

SEC. 271. Assessment of political, economic, and security activity of the People's Republic of China in Africa.

(b) Intelligence assessment.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence, submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report that assesses the nature and impact of Chinese political, economic, and security sector activity in Africa, and its impact on United States strategic interests, including—

(4) the involvement of Chinese private security, technology and media companies in Africa;

(7) the methods and techniques that China uses to exert undue influence on African governments and facilitate corrupt activity in Africa, and to influence African multilateral organizations; and

(8) an analysis of the soft power, cultural and educational activities undertaken by the PRC and CCP to seek to expand influence in Africa.

SEC. 273. Digital security cooperation with respect to Africa.

(2) DUTIES.—The Working Group established pursuant to this subsection shall develop a set of recommendations for—

(D) utilizing interagency resources to counter Chinese disinformation and propaganda in traditional and digital media targeted to African audiences; and

SEC. 276. Africa broadcasting networks.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the CEO of the United States Agency for Global Media shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the resources and timeline needed to establish within the Agency an organization whose mission shall be to promote democratic values and institutions in Africa by providing objective, accurate, and relevant news and information to the people of Africa, especially in countries where a free press is banned by the government or not fully established, about the region, the world, and the United

States through uncensored news, responsible discussion, and open debate.

PART IV-Africa Summary

This section of the Strategic Competition Act of 2021 on Africa proves beyond any reasonable doubt the true extent of the [mental illness](#) infecting the Congressional members that ordered this Act's creation and compilation, and the Senate Foreign Relations Committee members that approved it.

Africa is still very much a continent in chains; chains of 1) poverty forged by Euro-American colonialists and doubly reinforced today by American AFRICOM, as well as French and British militaries, 2) the kleptocratic oppressive dictators the Euro-Americans install in power, 3) wars that rage when the people rise up against the Euro-American dictators, and the 4) neo-liberal economic practices the US enforces on every nation they can, that [starves the poor to death](#) while enriching the cronies of the [kleptocratic dictators](#) and [the American masters they slavishly serve](#).

AFRICOM is More about Natural Resources than Fighting Terrorism

- Lucrative trade in illicit goods, drugs, human trafficking, and more has continued to line the pockets of militant organizations in Africa and the very fact that they are continuing calls into question the efficacy of, and agenda behind, the US AFRICOM force. By Eric Draitser, December 11, 2018

<https://www.mintpressnews.com/?s=AFRICOM>

AFRICOM's Secret Empire: US Military Turns Africa Into 'Laboratory' Of Modern Warfare - The U.S. military already plans to expand its massive military footprint in Africa and elsewhere to the tune of "several million dollars" a year and thousands of troops. By MintPress News Desk

<https://www.mintpressnews.com/211971-2/211971/>

To Ramp Up Fear of Russia in Africa, NYT Downplays Massive US Military Presence on Continent, by Adam Johnson, April 4, 2019

The New York Times (3/31/19) added to its series of reports depicting Official Enemies surpassing the US in the race for global dominance. It seems that having taken control of the Arctic (FAIR.org, 9/15/15), the nuclear domain (FAIR.org, 3/7/18) and a whole host of other spaces the US is "behind" in, Russia is now gobbling up Africa—a threat the US, presumably, must counter with an even greater military build-up.

<https://fair.org/home/to-ramp-up-fear-of-russia-in-africa-nyt-downplays-massive-us-military-presence-on-continent/>

AFRICOM's gambit: Why a US military command is waging a 'media war' on Americans, Nebojsa Malic, 28 May, 2020

A regional US military command tasked with hunting down terrorists across Africa seems to be far more interested in waging psychological operations targeting the American public, the Pentagon and the White House. How curious. Most countries in the world divide their own territory in military areas of responsibility. Not so the US, whose combatant commands span the entire globe – and beyond. One of these, the Africa Command (AFRICOM) is responsible for the entire African continent – with the exception of Egypt, which somehow ended up in the realm of the neighboring Central Command (CENTCOM).

<https://www.rt.com/op-ed/489944-africom-media-campaign-america/>

The U.S. Brags About Health Aid to Africa While Bombing Some of Its Most Vulnerable Nations - Libya and Somalia, where U.S. forces have spent hundreds of millions on airstrikes, have fared especially poorly when it comes to direct U.S. health assistance. by Nick Turse
May 22 2020

<https://theintercept.com/2020/05/22/us-africa-aid-coronavirus-somalia-libya/>

<https://blackallianceforpeace.com/search?q=Africom>

<https://blackagendareport.com/john-bolton-says-he-wants-protect-africa-predatory-chinese-behavior-what-about-washingtons>

The British and the French have giant colonial holdings in Africa as well.

<https://www.thenewhumanitarian.org/feature/2017/02/15/updated-rough-guide-foreign-military-bases-africa>

Keeping the Empire Running: Britain's Global Military Footprint, by Binoy Kampmark, 25 November 2020

Globally, the UK military has a presence in 145 sites in 42 countries. Such figures tally with Ian Cobain's prickly observation in The History Thieves: that the British were the only people "perpetually

at war..."In Africa, British personnel can be found in Kenya, Somalia, Djibouti, Malawi, Sierra Leone, Nigeria and Mali.

<https://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/HL2011/S00114/keeping-the-empire-running-britains-global-military-footprint.htm>

<https://www.businessinsider.com/frances-military-is-all-over-africa-2015-1>

Africa is a continent in need, and the only thing, the only thing the US Congress and Senate can think about is: How can we kick Chinese ass in Africa? That is so sick.

To pretend that China is part of the "Great Power" struggle to dominate Africa is an atrocious bit of CIA disinformation. The map of Africa is filled with American, French and British military bases and they relentlessly kill civilians in their so-called anti-terrorist wars. China has no military fighting in Africa and concerns itself solely with building infrastructure that African nations desperately need to modernize. The Americans, French and British really should try that for a change.

If the US were to work *together* with China, Russia and Europe to help repair the damage done to Africa over the past one hundred and fifty years, it would take them 50 years at least. The US attacking China for its work in China is counterproductive to helping Africa. Any protests to the contrary are specious at best and targeted disinformation in reality.

What's also pathetic about this is the US is offering no money to anyone for this. When it comes to Africa, the USA has always been "on the cheap." No new stainless-steel chains for those slaves; no-sir-ee! Just the usual rusty old iron chains will do fine, thank you.

Sec. 271 – If they want information like Chinese involvement in African energy, politics and media why don't they just stroll over to the [Africa Desk at CIA](#) and ask? They have [all the answers](#) already because they're running the whole show. They want information on the "nature and impact of Chinese political, economic, and security sector activity in Africa?" The Chinese are about the only people doing anything to help there as far as I could tell. *The USA had its chance to help development in Africa for more than a century, and did nothing. And now they decide to sabotage Chinese efforts to bring development in China? That's obscenely anti-competitive and in fact, inhumane in the extreme.*

Sec. 273 & 276 – Using electronic media in Africa to undermine Chinese friendships there might not be so successful. The US might be disappointed because on a percentage basis not that many people have access to electricity and/or electronic media to sabotage China.

The US Congress has to wait for China to build them some energy production systems first (because the Americans won't), then the USA can turn use electronic media against China, *not before!*

It was China that built the African Union complex of buildings. Why? The US obviously didn't want to do it. Allow AFRICANS a complex of nice buildings? I don't think so.



Author G. Brundage in front of African Union headquarters in Addis Ababa, 2018

Congress wants reports from Africa in six months. They offer no money for this research, but it isn't necessary anyways. They already have access to all the answers.

The USA always promises one kind of development aid in one country after another and then reneges every time. I was in Kabul not so long ago and the US promised to rebuild that, but it's still pretty much in ruins as is most of the country. Iraq? Ditto. Africa? I was in Ethiopia a few years ago and it was poor, really poor. I spent a while in Addis and then took buses up to Axum in the Tigray region stopping here and there for various reasons for different lengths of time. Most small towns don't have electricity and that means no refrigeration, and that means most people live without meat most of the time, as if they could afford it anyways. Air conditioning? Well sure for the crony super-rich only of course.

What I did see was a nation mostly still living in the agrarian age. A lot of the things in their markets were made in China, and thank goodness for it. Some consumer goods from the modern world are accessible there, like for example, reading glasses, mobile phones, pots and pans, farming equipment, and so on.

I did meet a few Chinese people in Addis Ababa who were working on energy/infrastructure projects. Why do Chinese prefer to use Chinese workers? The story

I've heard in several countries is that CIA guys will kidnap local workers' family members and coerce them into sabotaging any Chinese led project.

The US Congress and Senate approving a document on any other nation's anti-competitive business practices anywhere in the developing world is farcical. The CIA of the USA is the world's kingpin of anti-competitive behavior followed by the military arm of DoD and their legions of private intelligence and private military contractors. There are no laws too big or too small for them to breeze right past without even noticing.

AFRICOM

Research by TomDispatch indicates that in recent years the U.S. military has, in fact, developed a remarkably extensive network of more than 60 outposts and access points in Africa. Some are currently being utilized, some are held in reserve, and some may be shuttered. These bases, camps, compounds, port facilities, fuel bunkers, and other sites can be found in at least 34 countries -- more than 60% of the nations on the continent -- many of them corrupt, repressive states with poor human rights records. The U.S. also operates "Offices of Security Cooperation and Defense Attaché Offices in approximately 38 [African] nations," according to Falvo, and has struck close to 30 agreements to use international airports in Africa as refueling centers.

<https://blackagendareport.com/node/22696>

China in contrast has precisely one (1) overseas military base, specifically in [Djibouti](#). It is so tiny however one needs a magnifying glass to find it on a map, as compared to the sprawling Camp Lemonnier American base not so far away. That American base is only one of dozens of American military bases that completely dominate Africa in close coordination with French and British militaries of course. This is just the same as the old colonial days because this is still the old colonial days and most of Africa is still one huge impoverished plantation of the Euro-Americans.

"In Africa, British personnel can be found in Kenya, Somalia, Djibouti, Malawi, Sierra Leone, Nigeria and Mali." <https://www.counterpunch.org/2020/11/27/keeping-the-empire-running-britains-global-military-footprint/>

<https://www.globalresearch.ca/the-war-in-mali-and-africoms-african-agenda-target-china/5322517>

<https://www.globalresearch.ca/overview-post-coup-political-military-situation-mali/5724034>

<https://www.globalresearch.ca/france-crisis-islam-legacy-200-years-colonial-brutality/5726595>

China and Africa: The Black Alliance for Peace's AFRICOM Watch Bulletin, Danny Haiphong, BAR Contributing Editor, 21 Apr 2021

"The biggest misconception about China in Africa is the predominantly Western argument that China is the "new colonizer" in Africa. This is problematic on many levels. For one, China and Africa share a common history in that each has been on the receiving end of Western and U.S.-led imperialism for much of their modern existence. As Western colonial powers were colonizing and dividing Africa amongst themselves in the late 19th century, so too were these same powers occupying parts of China and fueling mass migration to the U.S. where racist laws were implemented to maintain their super exploitation and ultimate exclusion.

"Furthermore, there is a lack of evidence for the claim of "colonialism." Colonialism is the economic, political, and social domination of one nation upon another and is the engine of the Euro-American imperial project. None of China's relations with Africa can be described as such. Does China control the monetary policy of 14 African countries as France does? Does China use its military and political system to control the governance structures of African societies? The answer is always no, but the Western and US corporate media have called China a "new colonizer" in Africa so many times it has been digested as truth...

"Deborah Bräutigam is the Director of the China and Africa Research Initiative at John Hopkins University, hardly an anti-establishment source of information. Yet her observations, based on field research into Chinese investment in Africa, demonstrate that much of the financial and economic relations between China and Africa serve a real infrastructure need and make up a small portion of the African continent's overall debt portfolio. I would encourage readers to review this op-ed in The Washington Post and read her book 'The Dragon's Gift: The Real Story of China in Africa.'

"Every day, Americans and Westerners are fed a daily dose of reasons to fear China in the corporate media. We are told China is invading Africa, stealing intellectual property and jobs, interfering

in elections abroad, stifling freedom within its own borders, building up militarily in the South China Sea and on and on. This barrage of propaganda has spurred the largest dip in public opinion toward China since relations normalized between Washington and Beijing nearly fifty years ago. Such an intense atmosphere of Cold War racism and anti-communism coupled with a global pandemic and economic depression is bound to inspire the most reactionary and racist elements of society. We cannot understand the rise of violence toward people of Asian descent outside of this context.'

"Political education will be key. China cannot and will not be bullied by the United States or the West, and this will continue to drive the imperialists to ever more desperate acts of violence and sabotage against China. These acts will reverberate and impact greatly the futures of the Global South, especially Africa. We are seeing how the long legacies of racism and anti-communism have made it attractive to join in the crusade against China along humanitarian interventionist lines, even among some sections of the so-called "democratic" socialist left..."

"There are two kinds of political education that we must engage in to counter the strength of New Cold War propaganda. The first is study. We must study the Chinese perspective(s), the African perspective(s), and the various perspectives of nations and movements across the world on this issue. We must then make firm conclusions about where the true problem resides. That is, in the endless war drive of the imperialist world system and its lust for private profit..."

"The second is experience. We must engage directly in the struggle for peace and develop relationships with Chinese, African, and all non-aligned forces worldwide to truly understand the situation. My short trip to China in 2019 and early 2020 was deeply informative on the immense achievements made by a country once dominated by Western imperialism. We will need to organize people to people exchanges that help us answer questions which cannot be answered in books. The imperialists want us divided, and they want Americans and Westerners no matter how "progressive" or "radical" to look upon the Chinese as inherently corrupt and incapable of determining their own destiny or even understanding their own interests. This narrative should be familiar because its roots lie in the same racist social order that continues to oppress

and exploit Africans on the African continent and in the imperialist core.

“Lastly, it is important to join anti-imperialist organizations. Join [Black Alliance for Peace](#), participate in the No Cold War campaign, and begin working with those already engaged in efforts to dispel imperialist propaganda and develop solidarity among the oppressed. We all have a role.”

<https://blackagendareport.com/china-and-africa-black-alliance-peaces-africom-watch-bulletin>

Also see: <https://blackagendareport.com/russia-china-alliance-more-bulwark-against-imperialism>

The biggest dangers to American International security

The biggest danger to American international security is the American international security system which has as its foundation absolute political corruption and despotism. Defense contractors control the politicians who demand conflict from the American Intelligence Community and the American Department of Defense who in turn cook up lies and hire local agents in different countries to “confirm” those lies. It’s all a huge con game. The tax payers pay for it; people in the “developing world” get robbed of their freedom and natural resources, and shareholders and executives in the defense industry smile all the way to the bank. It’s very simple to understand.

Though on the surface the constituent parts of Strategic Competition Act of 2021 appear to be classic paranoia, they are instead rational to promote conflict with China with the intent to “Balkanize it,” (fragment it into smaller warring nation/states). The American Department of Defense must do as ordered and they are currently flooding the South China Sea with naval carrier groups and nuclear submarines in a most [provocative manner](#).

The potential for an accident is very high, as is the likelihood of a third party launching a covert false flag operation designed to trigger a military conflict between the US and China. Several American “ally” nations have the motivation to do this in order to reinforce their own power base which is totally reliant on the US maintaining its hegemony.

The US doesn’t necessarily want to start a nuclear war in China (because Russia might weigh in on the side of China), but for example sinking a Chinese navy ship or two would suit their purposes just fine so as to weaken the Chinese people’s faith in their government, and divide China such that the USA can reign supreme over planet earth forever (maintain hegemony in perpetuity).

The Strategic Competition Act of 2021 uses false information to “justify” the US inflating its already vastly over-sized Department of Defense budgets to ever dizzying heights. The build-up to this situation has been at least a decade in the making.

New High in Perceptions of China as U.S.'s Greatest Enemy, by

Mohamed Younis, March 16, 2021

- China now seen as U.S.'s greatest enemy
- Half of Americans believe China is world's leading economic power
- Record 63% say economic power of China is critical threat to U.S.
- The Feb. 3-18 poll also finds favorable views of China among U.S. adults falling for the second straight year, putting the figure at a historically low 20%.

<https://news.gallup.com/poll/337457/new-high-perceptions-china-greatest-enemy.aspx>

How can Americans be so easily hypnotized into hating an entire race and nation in such a short time?

The demonization of China began centuries ago, faded after President Nixon's visit in 1972 and started again after a Foreign Policy Journal article by [Hillary Clinton](#).

<https://fair.org/home/western-media-incite-anti-asian-racism-when-they-join-in-cold-war-against-china/>

<https://www.channelnewsasia.com/news/commentary/us-china-relations-trade-reset-biden-13650088>

Former Chinese Premier Chou En-lai once observed: “One of the delightful things about Americans is that they have absolutely no historical memory.”

I frankly wouldn't call American lack of knowledge regarding history, “delightful.” I would call it a fatally dangerous lacuna.

Another factor that might influence American susceptibility to media manipulation is the ever-increasing percentage of Americans taking either prescription and/or illegal drugs.

According to [research](#) done at Georgetown University, more than 131 million people — 66 percent of all adults in the United States — use prescription drugs.

In 2018, an estimated 164.8 million people aged 12 or older in the United States (60.2 percent) were past month substance users (i.e., tobacco, alcohol, or illicit drugs). About 2 out of 5 people aged 12 or older (108.9 million, or 39.8 percent) did not use substances in

the past month. The 164.8 million past month substance users in 2018 include 139.8 million people who drank alcohol, 58.8 million people who used a tobacco product, and 31.9 million people who used an illicit drug.

<https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/cbhsq-reports/NSDUHNationalFindingsReport2018/NSDUHNationalFindingsReport2018.pdf>

From at least 1999 the USA has been experiencing an opioid and Heroin [epidemic](#). Those are international security issues that should be of greater concern than corporate driven phantoms created to inflate the defense department budget. Those two issues appear to [be related](#).

The American track-record on human rights is the worst in the world by far

The USA via its deadly economic sanctions and neo-liberal economic policies murders nine million innocent people every year by starvation alone.

<https://www.un.org/en/chronicle/article/losing-25000-hunger-every-day>
<https://www.theworldcounts.com/challenges/people-and-poverty/hunger-and-obesity/how-many-people-die-from-hunger-each-year/story>
<https://sanctionskill.org/>
<https://www.counterpunch.org/2018/12/17/what-is-neoliberalism/>

No American politician can or should criticize any other foreign leader on the subject of human rights.

The list of American foreign military “interventions” is as unjustifiable as it is obscenely long.


<https://archive.globalpolicy.org/us-westward-expansion/26024-us-interventions.html>

Trade protectionism is one of the primary, if not the primary causative factor leading to the [American Great Depression](#) (as all Economics 101 students learn) and China’s foreign trade policy makers would not be so utterly foolish as to initiate a series of escalatory actions like that. Those trade sanctions incidentally have hurt American workers far harder than Chinese workers, arguably evidence that the American “representative” form of government is not really representing American workers, but rather only shareholders in weapons corporations who receive larger dividend checks from American acts of international aggression.

For other international laws and treaties regarding freedom of expression and press, see:
<https://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/FreedomOpinion/Pages/Standards.aspx>

The New Cold War and Strategic Competition Act of 2021 are unparalleled violations of Article 20(1) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights which has been manifest in a longstanding pervasive disinformation campaign against China, [flooding the South China Sea with carrier groups and nuclear submarines](#) and trespassing, harassing and surrounding [Russia](#), e.g. with military build-up in Ukraine, [Poland](#) and other nations, [assassinations of Iranians](#), and so on which all have the very real potential of starting WWIII. The Strategic Competition Act of 2021 is four-billion-dollar egregious violation of international standards, law and civil behavior.

Summary



1 – 4 Designated for USA, 5 E.U., APEC & ASEAN, 6 Pacific Islands, 7. Indo-Pacific,

8. Buy influence in United Nations

- 1) The whole “thrust” of the Strategic Competition Act is revealed in Section 2, Findings: (1) The People’s Republic of China (PRC) is leveraging its political, diplomatic, economic, military, technological, and ideological power to become a strategic, near-peer, global competitor of the United States. The policies increasingly pursued by the PRC in these domains are contrary to the interests and values of the United States, its partners, and much of the rest of the world.”

To put that into simple English, yes, China is developing, and most people don't see that as a threat as China is not a militarily or politically aggressive nation like the USA which has had only had 17 Years of peace since its founding in 1776. That statement reveals that American foreign policy makers are afraid of peer competition. One can only wonder why? As for the values of the world, I suspect most people value peace and development more than constant warfare and the

abrogation of their self-determination, such as American foreign policy makers relentlessly try to force upon the entire world.

- 2) The whole world will never submit to American totalitarian rule. Attempting to force American values and assumptions on the whole world will not end well for anyone. Laws exist for a reason, specifically to increase everyone's freedom. In this case it's the freedom of other people in the world to self-determination; a right American foreign policy makers think they can steal from everyone in the world. In view of such delusions of grandeur, one can only wonder how many millions more innocents must die before American policy makers end their reign of terror and death, and will the United Nations ever even begin to try to fulfil its mandates to promote peace and self-determination between and within nations and people.
- 3) If COVID-19 has proven anything it has proven that so-called "liberal democracies" are not necessarily the most liberal or representative forms of government. Death by pandemic is the abrogation of freedom, specifically the freedom to live. China incidentally never had lockdowns except in very limited areas for relatively short periods of time. Living here in Beijing I never experienced any lockdowns. Wear a mask when going out was about the extent of the precautions we are asked to make and virtually everyone cooperated, although we were advised to wash our hands after going out and so on.





<https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html> China COVID-19 May 3, 2021

The Chinese government is representative to the extent that it does listen to the people and more importantly tries to keep them happy. How so? First, people who never lived in China may be surprised to hear citizens do routinely criticize the government for one thing or another. There is no law against it as far as I know. However, when enough people criticize the government on some specific issues, the government does listen carefully (mainly on Chinese social media,) and responds. Example? I recall a year or so ago hearing some grumbling from people about President Xi. I had to ask to find out the issue. It turned out the price of pork had gotten too high. Soon after China resumed importing pork and the prices went down.

The US government monitors all communications in the US and worldwide too, but generally does what it wants regardless of popular opinion which they know they can shape using corporate owned media. This builds unnatural pressures in society, I think. How many people in the US have lost loved ones because of the government's failure to take COVID-19 seriously right at the beginning like China did, and lost jobs due to the Trump-Biden anti-China protectionist sanctions? Millions! Where are their voices?

I read a lot of American newspapers every day (yes, right here in Beijing on my Huawei phone) and I don't hear their voices. Why not? Unfairly and inaccurately blaming China is scapegoating; it's not a mature or responsible approach to problem solving.

The “problems” that caused the Iraq War, and the “problems” that caused the rapid spread and very high death rates from COVID-19 in the USA still exist. That is a huge problem for the US and the world.

Einstein once said that “insanity is doing the same thing over and over and expecting a different result.” That appears to describe the American political mindset.

- 4) China is a lot freer than most people think. I was chatting online with an American friend last week who said some people in the USA were very angry at my writing recently. He added that I had no idea what is going on in the USA because COMMUNIST China censors all the news. That was funny. I have apps on my phone for USA Today, Fox News, MSNBC, NPR and a dozen American alt-news sources. Yes, I think China blocks NY Times, but doesn't have much choice really. Despite universal education for so many years a lot of Chinese are still very naive and enraptured by American concepts of “freedom” to do ANYTHING! The NY Times has unfortunately published enough ridiculous China-bashing articles the government here said: “Enough!”

Unfortunately, COVID-19 has proven freedom to do ANYTHING(!) isn't a really good approach to life or freedom. The one economy that has remained intact throughout the COVID-19 pandemic is China, because the government takes simple precautions and the *people cooperate not out of fear but out of a culture with a history and language of harmony* (going back to the 100 Schools of Thought in 500 BC). The result? Freedom!

An American friend visited me here in Beijing a few years ago. He was really shocked. He'd expected some kind of frothing at the mouth COMMUNIST slavery and everyone wearing gray uniforms or something and was really surprised. Beijing could be any big city in the USA or Europe. It's also a lot more liberal than the USA because police here are not breathing down everyone's neck all the time. No complaints? Sure, I have complaints. Less police is nice but those traffic cameras are a killer! I wish they'd put more signs up telling people more clearly where we can stop to pick someone up at the subway! Luckily, I've lived here long enough to know that if something irritates me it irritates a lot of people and surprisingly soon the government will hear about it and the changes needed to keep everyone happy and safe will be made. In the meantime, I am just (a lot more) more careful when I stop to pick up friends at the subway.

- 5) Regardless of political differences, Chinese culture is more conservative than “liberal democracies.” They still value families here which is nice and I have to admit I'm rather envious. Frankly, some of it is a bit “over the top” for me, like parents have pretty much power over who their kids marry, but that's their culture and I, as a foreigner, don't really have a right to criticize them for it. (*I've known a few people who went against their parents' wishes and married the person their*

parents didn't approve of. In each case the parents did come around later on and accepted the spouse. There are very few "iron-clad" rules in China, but a lot of complex systems and parents do try at least to manipulate their children into relationships they think will probably be most successful.) In virtually all cases they manage to work it out somehow. Are all those marriages happy? Are all marriages happy anywhere? In any case family helps and protects family here and in spite of some of my natural aversions to some of the (seemingly ridged but usually at least somewhat flexible) conditions involved in that, I envy them for it.

Also, the TV here is nowhere near as sexy as the USA. I saw a 2016 movie called "Suicide Squad" with Will Smith on TV here a couple of days ago and was pretty surprised that in many, many scenes there was a sexy psycho-lady wearing a bikini and rather prominently displaying her buttocks through most of the movie. I never saw a Chinese movie like that. Chinese TV shows and movies tend to be more conservative and I know for a sure fact the government here works to try to preserve family values. The "Leave it to Beaver" and "Mayberry RFD" TV shows may seem ridiculously naive but they were peaceful. Is that a bad thing? I don't think so.

Unfortunately, as in any large population there are definitely some people here that just love watching sexy violent movies and consequently worship the USA in all of its aspects. Appealing to the baser instincts in people is one way to enlist followers but I don't think it promotes the long-term survival of the culture or species.

Lots of sex and violence is good capitalism but lousy instruction for the young. Is it a surprise the USA is a very violent place? The rich are isolated from that violence and just don't care, but the poor have to live with it and it isn't fun to lose loved ones because rich movie producers know they can "make a killing" with some new level of ultra-violence wedded to new levels of ultra-sexiness.

In 2007 Sandra Steingraber, Ph.D. published a [research study](#) titled: "*The Falling Age of Puberty in U.S. Girls: What We Know, What We Need To Know*" She attributes the increasingly earlier age of puberty onset in American girls to pollution and chemicals in food. I suspect living in a society with a very highly sexualized media might have something to do with it too. Earlier onset of puberty in girls is also correlated with higher rates of breast cancer.

Is freedom to die of COVID-19, breast cancer, gun violence, astronomically high incarceration rates and drug addiction really freedom?

I've lived with locals all around the world for almost half of my life. I love freedom and know for a sure and certain fact Chinese in China enjoy more freedom than anyone anywhere. They certainly do not all know it, but I do, *only because I've traveled so much for so many years in so many countries*. Too many well-intentioned but naïve people here listen to American propaganda and believe it. That's sad. The American government should take care of business at home before pontificating to the world about how they should live their lives, or manage their governments and families. They should definitely stop paying troublemakers to spread violence and destruction.

This Strategic Competition Act of 2021 projects the American sickness of absolute selfishness and violence around the world. That sickness has manifest in massive COVID-19 deaths, rioting, joblessness, the largest prison population in the world and a sharp decline in respect for the USA and so-called liberal democracies already. Attacking China is not the answer.

The answer is taking care of business at home first. Those without a healthy functioning system should not be forcing their dysfunctional system on everyone else around the world.

- 6) Despite 36 references to China as a Communist country in the Strategic Competition Act of 2021, China is not a communist country. Even the Chinese Communist Party (CPC) is not Communist. I read a lot of things by Marx and Engles and found it somewhat interesting. I see it as a world where everyone has the freedom to pursue the education they want for free and nobody can lord over others. There will be no national borders again allowing people greater freedom. The American myth-making machine has turned it into a dystopian hell. I see it as a vastly freer ideal utopia possible maybe 50 – 100 years in the future. There is no “communist” government now. Too bad! I wish there were! (No more wars, worries about visas, no governments at all, free education, shared resources...) In fact the phrase: “Communist government” is illogical. In a communist world there is no need for governments to lord over people. Educated people can manage infrastructural systems just fine.

What China has is a hybrid capitalist/socialist REPUBLIC that has lifted vastly more people out of poverty in a shorter time period of time than any nation in history. What's wrong with that? The US is a republic too. The US has the Electoral College that really decides who is to become president. The popular vote is irrelevant. There are a lot more systems of representation in China than westerners know about. For example, there is the CPPCC, Chinese: 中国人民政治协商会议), an independent judiciary, surprisingly powerful law firms and all kinds of “back door” avenues to attain justice foreigners never hear about. Likewise, there are other political parties besides the CPC. It is perfectly OK to support democracy here and

there is a democracy party. But they lose credibility when individuals and groups engage in (foreign supported) violence and trickery to get what they want.

China now reminds me of the USA in the 1960s. It's a hopeful place with a great deal of freedom. I wish that were true of the USA but it isn't any more and *that is not China's fault*. That is due to system errors in *unrestrained* capitalism and the populism and fascist legacy inherent in the later stages of all democratic systems (as Plato noted in *The Republic*). Political campaign finance corruption sure doesn't help, and spending half of the federal budget on killing machines and training people to be killers is unbelievably stupid and self-destructive too. The Taliban are a direct product of the American killing machine. I visited a Taliban training center in 1993 in a Pakistan refugee camp and it was a CIA directed/Saudi funded program. Training people to be nothing but killers is a really bad idea. I knew it then, and it is no less true today.

- 7) China was the richest country in the world until the Europeans colonized it and pumped it full of opium. Then it became the poorest country in the world. After 99 years of constant war China was a wreck. So now its recovering. And now the USA is going to send it back down to hell again? That's really evil as is this propaganda war outlined in the "Strategic Competition Act of 2021" which is entirely based on wild racist exaggerations, convoluted distortions and in most cases complete fabrications.
- 8) Trade protectionism is economic suicide. In the final Trump Biden debate, Trump predicted that if Biden wins the election the US economy would crash. He might have been right about that, but didn't mention the economy was heading into calamity whoever won due to the trade protectionism he himself started. Smoot-Hawley and Trump-Biden are unfortunate bedfellows in really bad economics.

The American protectionism bill that made the Great Depression worse by Sarah Gardner and Scott Tong Aug 24, 2017

But it turned into a big deal, a protectionist feeding frenzy, in fact. Congress started holding hearings on tariffs in 1929, at which point all kinds of lobbyist and industry groups showed up to piggyback on the farm tariffs. Ball bearings, steel, textiles, shoes, bricks, collapsible tubs, bottle caps, sprinkler tops, you name it. Even the goldfish industry joined the protectionist exuberance...

President Hoover signed the bill on June 17, 1930, a full 18 months after deliberations began. By then, the Great Depression had already begun. The stock market was in shambles, having crashed in October 1929 as the Senate debated its version of the bill. As the

world economy sagged, it didn't take long for America's biggest trading partners to retaliate...

<https://www.marketplace.org/2017/08/24/what-was-one-worst-pieces-us-legislation/>

If the USA can avoid a civil war and WWIII until [November 8, 2022](#) when the next US Senate elections will be held, one can only hope informed voters will vote the members of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee who voted for the toxic Strategic Competition Act of 2021, out of office.

That however is a mighty big "if."

Fact Check

President Trump: "We closed up the greatest economy in the world in order to fight this horrible disease that came from China."

Fact: COVID-19 appears to have originated in Italy

<https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-italy-timing/italian-study-suggesting-covid-predates-china-outbreak-sparks-scepticism-idUSKBN27Y1LN>

Coronavirus was already in Italy by December (1999), waste water study finds

<https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-53106444>

Italy potentially had COVID-19 outbreak 'earlier than Wuhan', study shows, By Zhang Hui, Zhao Yusha and Zhao Juecheng

There is a series of evidence on coronavirus being found in Italy before December 2019, including the latest regarding a 4-year-old boy found to have contracted the virus in November 2019, which some experts believe indicates that a COVID-19 outbreak occurred in Italy earlier than in China's Wuhan, which recorded the earliest COVID-19 symptoms from a patient on December 8, 2019 and has since been labeled as the origin of the virus by some Western countries. Italy identified the novel coronavirus in a 4-year-old boy on December 5, after he developed a cough on November 21, 2019, according to a new study published by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's *Emerging Infectious Diseases journal*, the latest report suggesting that the disease hit Europe earlier than once thought. That was about three months before the first identified case of coronavirus disease in Italy.

<https://www.globaltimes.cn/content/1209646.shtml>

Fact: Studies Show N.Y. Outbreak Originated in Europe

<https://www.nytimes.com/2020/04/08/us/coronavirus-live-updates.html>

Fact: The New York Times, BBC and Reuters are not especially “China friendly” newspapers. But, occasionally for whatever reason, they do report some real news.

Questions

- 1) Is the US President and Senate really ready to start WWIII in support of maintaining American global hegemony in perpetuity supported by a populist lie told by former President Trump?
- 2) If American presidents are not required to take mental health checks, why not?
- 3) What kind of world are we going to leave for our children, and their children? A burned-out radioactive cinder in the midst of nuclear winter or a more harmonious world where people actually live together in peace?


ⁱ **NOTE:** In the notorious *Citizens United Vs. FEC* decision:

“The Court also rejected an anticorruption rationale as a means of banning independent corporate political speech. In *Buckley v. Valeo*, the Court found the anti-corruption interest to be sufficiently important to allow limits on contributions, but did not extend that reasoning to overall expenditure limits because there was less of a danger that expenditures would be given as a *quid pro quo* for commitments from that candidate. The Court ultimately held in this case that the anti-corruption interest is not sufficient to displace the speech in question from Citizens United and that *‘independent expenditures, including those made by corporations, do not give rise to corruption or the appearance of corruption.’* (Italics added by this author.)

Innumerable members of Congress and President Biden directly profit from foreign military aggression proving the Supreme Court’s dismissal of “*quid pro quo*” relationships was fatally erroneous; That error (Titanic-sized blunder) ultimately led to Super-PACs and other unlimited forms of political corruption in the USA and worldwide.

Congress' Top Defense Stock Investments

Range of value of members of Congress' combined holdings of stock in top defense contractors



[Get the data](#) • Created with Datawrapper

<https://prospect.org/power/the-members-of-congress-who-profit-from-war/>

Last words?

Who can really trust those people who profit from wars, while stealing from the poor back home and everywhere else while pushing a racist agenda back home and everywhere else?

Whatever happened to [detente](#)?

Unrestrained capitalism is [rapacious](#) by nature. It's easy for the super-rich to support it because they love lording over and exploiting the poor. But it's a living [nightmare](#) for the poor and the polarization is getting so extreme in most countries critical mass implosions are inevitable.

Other parts of this series can be downloaded from:

<https://thegreatlinking.org/>

<https://www.silkroadvirtualuniversity.org/>

<https://www.academia.edu/>